ON ROBUSTLY POSITIVELY EXPANSIVE MAPS

Manseob Lee* and Gang Lu**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that every C^1 -robustly positively expansive map is expanding.

1. Introduction

Let M be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold, and let $f: M \to M$ be a $C^r(r \ge 1)$ map. Let $d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a metric on M and e > 0. We say that f is e-positively expansive if any $x \ne y$, there exists $n \ge 0$, such that $d(f^n(x, f^n(y)) \ge e$. In positively expansivity, an important class is the expanding ones defined as follows: we say that a map is expanding if there are constants C > 0 and $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$||D_x f^n(v)|| \ge C\gamma^n ||v||$$

for any $x \in M$. Recall that a C^1 map f on M is said to be topologically transitive if there is dense orbit; that is, $M = \overline{\{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}}$ for some $x \in M$.

Since M is connected, it can be checked that the set of periodic points, P(f), of f is dense(see, [7]).

Very recently, in [1] Arbieto proved that any C^1 -persistently positively expansive map is expanding. Here, we introduce the definition of the C^1 -persistently positively expansive of a C^1 map $f: M \to M$ if there exists a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of f such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$, there exists e(g) > 0 such that g is e(g)-positively expansive, where e(g) is the expansive constant for g. In this paper, we don't change to select the expansive constant in the initial condition. That is, the expansive

Received November 19, 2010; Accepted February 15, 2011.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37D20; Secondary 37C50.

Key words and phrases: positively expansive maps, expanding maps.

 $^{{\}it Correspondence should be addressed to Manseob Lee, lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr.}$

The second author was supported by the second stage of Brain Korea 21 project in 2011.

constant doesn't change about the functions of the C^1 -nearby f. It is more stronger condition than Arbieto's definition.

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that a C^1 map $f: M \to M$ is C^1 -robustly positively expansive map if there exist e > 0 and a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(f)$ of f such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}(f)$, g is e-positively expansive.

In this paper, we shall prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.2. Let M be a compact manifold. Any C^1 -robustly positively expansive map $f: M \to M$ is expanding.

2. Proof of Theorem 2.5

The following lemma is in [1, 4].

LEMMA 2.1. Let f be a C^1 -map and $\mathcal{U}(f)$ a C^1 -neighborhood of f. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ of f and a $\epsilon > 0$ such that, if for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$, $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \subset M$ is a finite set, and linear maps $L_i : T_{p_i}M \to T_{g(p_i)}M$ satisfying $||L_i - D_{p_i}g|| \le \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, there exists $g_1 \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$ such that g(x) = g(x) if $x \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ and $D_{p_i}g = L_i$. Moreover, if U is a neighborhood of S, then choose $\alpha > 0$ and $g_1 \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$ such that

- (i) $g_1(x) = g(x)$, for every $x \in S \cup (M \setminus S)$,
- (ii) $g_1(x) = \exp_{g(p_i)} \circ D_{p_i} g \circ \exp_{p_i}^{-1}(x)$, for $x \in B_{\alpha}(p_i)$,

where exp is the exponential map of the Riemannian manifold M.

Let f be a C^1 map on M and e > 0. By Lemma 2.1, if f is C^1 -robustly expansive then there is a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ of f such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$, g is e-positively expansive.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $\mathcal{U}_0(f)$ be the C^1 -neighborhood of f in the above. Suppose that f is C^1 -robustly positively expansive. For any $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$, and p as a periodic point for g with period $\pi(p)$ if λ is an eigenvalue of $Dg^{\pi(p)}(p)$ then $|\lambda| > 1$.

Proof. Let M be a C^1 -robustly positively expansive for a C^1 -map f. Then there exist a C^1 -neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ of f and an expansive constant e > 0 such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$, g is e-positive expansive. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $Dg^{\pi(p)}(p)$. We will derive a contradiction. Suppose that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. To simplify, assume that $g^{\pi(p)}(p) = g(p) = p$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Take a linear map $L: T_pM \to T_pM$ such that $||L - D_pg|| < \epsilon$. Then one can choose $0 < \alpha < e/4$ such that

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} \exp_p \circ L \circ \exp_p^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in B_\alpha(p), \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \notin B_{4\alpha}(p), \end{cases}$$

where $B_{\alpha}(p) = \{x \in M : d(x,p) \leq \alpha\}$. Then $g_1(p) = g(p) = p$. If $\lambda < 1$ then $T_pM = E_p^s$. Thus there exists $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that

$$||D_p g^n(v)|| \le \gamma^n ||v||$$

for $v \in T_pM$. One can choose $0 < \epsilon_1 < \alpha/4$ such that $\exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1)) \subset B_{\alpha}(p)$. Then for some $\gamma_1 > 1$,

$$g_1^n|_{\exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1))} < g_1^{n-1}|_{\exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1))} < \dots < d(x,y) < \epsilon_1,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can take distinct two points $x, y \in \exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1)) \subset M$ such that

$$d(g_1^n(x), g_1^n(y)) < \epsilon_1 < \alpha < e,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a contradiction.

If $\lambda = 1$, then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_1^k(x) = id(x) = x$ for $x \in B_{\alpha}(p)$, where id is the identity map. In this case, g_1 is not e-positively expansive.

Finally, if $\lambda \leq 1$ then $T_pM = E_p^c \oplus E_p^s$, where E_p^c associated to $\lambda = 1$ and E_p^s associated to $\lambda < 1$. Note that let $A \subset M$. If M is positively expansive of f, then A have to positive expansive of f. One can choose $0 < \epsilon_1 < \alpha/4$ such that $\exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1)) \subset B_\alpha(p)$. Then choose k > 0 such that $g_1^k|_{\exp_p(E_p^c(\epsilon_1))} = id$, where id is the identity map. Thus we can take distinct two points $x, y \in \exp_p(E_p^s(\epsilon_1)) \subset M$ with $d(x, y) < \epsilon_1$ such that

$$d(q_1^n(x), q_1^n(y)) = d(x, y) < \epsilon_1 < \alpha < e,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, $x \neq y$. This is a contradiction.

It is known that f is positively expansive, f is open and local diffeomorphism since M is a manifold without boundary(see [3]).

REMARK 2.3. Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold and let $f: M \to M$ be a C^1 map. If f is a positively expansive open map then f is topological transitive.

For $\delta > 0$, a sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset M(0 < n \leq \infty)$ is called a δ -pseudo-orbit of f if $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. We say that f has the shadowing property if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of f there is $y \in M$ satisfying $d(f^i(y), x_i) < \epsilon$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

In [7], Sakai showed that any positively expansive open map has the shadowing property.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(M)$ the set of all probability on the Borel σ -algebra of M endowed with the usual topology such that for $\mu_n, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$,

$$\mu_n \to \mu \Leftrightarrow \int \phi d\mu_n \to \int \phi d\mu,$$

for every continuous functions $\phi: M \to \mathbb{R}$. For a continuous map f, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_f(M)$, the set of all f-invariant elements of $\mathcal{M}(M)$. Take $x \in M$ and define a probability $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}(M)(n > 0)$ by

$$\mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f_j(x)}.$$

Here δ_x is the so-called Dirac measure supported at point x. Then it is known that $\mu_n \to \mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)(n \to \infty)$.

For any $x \in M$ and $v \in T_xM$, let

$$\lambda(x, v) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log ||D_x f^k(v)||$$

whenever this limit exists. It is well known that given an invariant measure μ , the limit exists for μ -almost all x by the Oselecdec theorem([5]) and it is called $Lyapunov\ exponent$. Lyapunov exponents are often used to characterize the non-uniform rates of expansion or contraction of tangent vectors.

Note that by the Oseledets theorem([5]), there exists a set $B \subset M$ such that $\mu(B) = 1$, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ with the following properties.

- There is a measurable function $s: B \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $s \circ f = s$.
- If $x \in B$ there are real numbers $\lambda_1(x) < \cdots < \lambda_{s(x)}(x)$.
- If $x \in B$ there are linear subspaces

$$\{0\} = V_{(0)}(x) \subset \cdots \subset V_{s(x)}(x) = T_x M.$$

• If $x \in B$ and $0 < i \le s(x)$ then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||D_x f^n(v)|| = \lambda(x),$$

for any $v \in V_i(x) \setminus V_{i-1}(x)$.

LEMMA 2.4. Let μ be a finite invariant measure of f. Suppose that f is C^1 -robustly positively expansive. Then for μ -almost all x, the Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_i(x)$ are positive.

Proof. See, [1] Lemma 2.3.
$$\square$$

THEOREM 2.5. [2] Let $f: M \to M$ be a C^1 -local diffeomorphism. If the Lyapunov exponents of every f-invariant probability measure are positive, then f is uniformly expanding.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Suppose that f is C^1 -robustly positively expansive. Since f is positively expansive, f is a C^1 -local diffeomorphism and it is open. Also, f has the shadowing property. Since \underline{M} is connected, $M = \overline{P(f)}$. By Remark 2.3, f is transitive. Thus $M = \overline{P(f)}$ is transitive set and $\mu(\overline{P(f)}) = 1$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_f(M)$. By the assumption and Lemma 2.1, there is $\mathcal{U}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{U}(f)$ of f such that for any $g \in \mathcal{U}_0(f)$, g is positively expansive. For $x \in P(g)$, let λ be an eigenvalue of $D_x g$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log ||D_x g^n||$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\lambda|^n \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \log |\lambda|$$

$$= \log |\lambda| > 0.$$

Thus $\lambda(x)$ is positive for μ -almost all x. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, f is uniformly expanding.

References

- [1] A. Arbieto, On persistently positively expansive maps, Annal. Brazil. Acad. Sci. 82 (2010), 263-266.
- [2] Y. Cao, Non-zero lyapunov exponents and unform hyperbolicity, Nonlinearity, 16 (2003), 1473-1479.
- [3] E. M. Coven and W. L. Reddy, *Positively expansive maps of compact manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. **819**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980, 96-110.
- [4] J. Franks, Necessary conditions for stability of diffeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 301-308.
- [5] V. Oseledets, A multiplicative ergodic theorem: Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 19(1968), 197-231.
- [6] M. J. Pacifico, E. Pujals and J. L. Vieitez, Robustly expansive homoclinic classes, Ergodi. Th. & Dynm. Syst 25 (2005), 271-300.
- [7] K. Sakai, Periodic points of positivly expansive maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94(1985), 531-534.

*

Department of Mathematics Mokwon University Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea E-mail: lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics Chungnam National University Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: lvgang1234@hanmail.net