

GENERALIZED ANTI-DERIVATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

CHUN-GIL PARK*

ABSTRACT. We investigate generalized Baxter equations on Banach algebras. This is applied to understand generalized anti-derivations on Banach $*$ -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E_1 and E_2 be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Consider $h : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ to be a mapping such that $h(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E_1$. Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|h(x+y) - h(x) - h(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E_1$. Th.M. Rassias [2] showed that there exists a unique \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $T : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

$$\|h(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2-2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in E_1$.

Sablik [3] investigated the generalized Abel's functional equation

$$\psi(xh(y) + yg(x)) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y).$$

*Supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2002-041-C00014.

Received by the editors on June 20, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 39B52, 47B48.

Key words and phrases: Banach $*$ -algebra, Baxter functional equation, anti-derivation.

When $h = g$, we get the original Abel's functional equation. In [1, 4], the authors investigated the functional equation

$$(\ddagger) \quad h(xh(y)^k + yh(x)^n) = th(x)h(y).$$

The equation (\ddagger) with $k = n = t = 1$ becomes the equation

$$h(xh(y) + yh(x)) = h(x)h(y),$$

which is the equation defining *anti-derivations* in the case where f is a bounded linear mapping defined on an algebra. Together with the equation

$$h(xh(y) + yh(x) - xy) = h(x)h(y),$$

they are special cases of the generalized Baxter equation

$$(\dagger) \quad h(xh(y) + yh(x) - \kappa xy) = \omega h(x)h(y).$$

See [3] for details.

Throughout this paper, let \mathcal{B} be a complex Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate generalized Baxter equations on Banach algebras, and generalized anti-derivations on Banach $*$ -algebras.

2. GENERALIZED BAXTER FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

In this section, assume that $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an additive mapping with $h(0) = 0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\kappa, \omega \in \mathcal{B}$ be given. Assume that there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

- (i)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y) < \infty,$$
- (ii)
$$\|h(ix) - ih(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x),$$
- (iii)
$$\|h(xh(y) + yh(x) - \kappa xy) - \omega h(x)h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. If $h(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{B}$, then the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the generalized Baxter equation (\dagger) and is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Proof. By the same reasoning as the proof of [2, Theorem], the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is \mathbb{R} -linear.

Since $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is additive, it follows from (ii) that

$$\|h(ix) - ih(x)\| = 2^{-n} \|h(2^n ix) - ih(2^n x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x),$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (i) for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. So $h(ix) = ih(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. For each element $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = s + it$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda x) &= h(sx + itx) = sh(x) + th(ix) = sh(x) + ith(x) \\ &= \lambda h(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. So

$$h(\zeta x + \eta y) = h(\zeta x) + h(\eta y) = \zeta h(x) + \eta h(y)$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Now by (iii) and the additivity of h ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|h(xh(y) + yh(x) - \kappa xy) - \omega h(x)h(y)\| \\ &= 2^{-2n} \|h(2^n xh(2^n y) + 2^n yh(2^n x) - 2^{2n} \kappa xy) - \omega h(2^n x)h(2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (i) for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the generalized Baxter equation (\dagger) , as desired. \square

COROLLARY 2. Let $\kappa, \omega \in \mathcal{B}$ be given. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|h(ix) - ih(x)\| \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p,$$

$$\|h(xh(y) + yh(x) - \kappa xy) - \omega h(x)h(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. If $h(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{B}$, then the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the generalized Baxter equation (\dagger) and is \mathbb{C} -linear.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$, and apply Theorem 1. \square

Now we are going to investigate generalized anti-derivations on Banach $*$ -algebras, which is a bounded linear $*$ -mapping with $\kappa = 0$ in (\dagger) .

THEOREM 3. Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach $*$ -algebra, and let $\kappa, \omega \in \mathcal{B}$ be given. Let $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (i) and (ii) such that

$$\|h(xh(y) + yh(x)) - \omega h(x)h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y),$$

$$(iv) \quad \|h(x^*) - h(x)^*\| \leq \varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a generalized anti-derivation.

Proof. Since $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous, $h(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{B}$. By the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 1, the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfies the generalized Baxter equation (\dagger) with $\kappa = 0$ and is \mathbb{C} -linear. But $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a continuous mapping, which is \mathbb{C} -linear. So $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bounded.

It follows from (iv) that

$$\|h(x^*) - h(x)^*\| = 2^{-n} \|h(2^n x^*) - h(2^n x)^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n x),$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (i) for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. So $h(x^*) = h(x)^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a generalized anti-derivation, as desired. \square

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\kappa, \omega \in \mathcal{B}$ be given. Assume that there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|h(ix) - ih(x)\| &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p, \\ \|h(xh(y) + yh(x)) - \omega h(x)h(y)\| &\leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \\ \|h(x^*) - h(x)^*\| &\leq 2\theta\|x\|^p\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. If $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a continuous mapping, then the additive mapping $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a generalized anti-derivation.

Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$, and apply Theorem 3. \square

REFERENCES

1. N. Brillouët-Belluot, *On the solutions of the functional equation $f(f(y)^k x + f(x)^l y) = \lambda f(x)f(y)$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen **41** (1987), 213–223.
2. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
3. M. Sablik, *On a generalized functional equation of Abel*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **60** (2002), 29–46.
4. M. Sablik and P. Urban, *On the solutions of the equation $f(xf(y)^k + yf(x)^l) = f(x)f(y)$* , Demonstratio Math. **18** (1985), 863–867.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 305 - 764, KOREA

E-mail: cgpark@math.cnu.ac.kr

