

ON THE STABILITY OF GENERALIZED DERIVATION IN FUZZY BANACH ALGEBRA

ICK-SOON CHANG* AND MIN-YOUNG KIM**

ABSTRACT. In this article, we take account of the fuzzy stability for generalized derivation in fuzzy Banach algebra.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations has originally been formulated by S. M. Ulam [25]: *Under what condition does there exists a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism?* As an answer to the problem of Ulam, D. H. Hyers has proved the stability of the additive functional equation [13], which states that if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a mapping with \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} Banach spaces, such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{L}(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This stability phenomenon is called the *Hyers-Ulam stability* of the additive functional equation $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$.

A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for additive mappings was given by T. Aoki [1] (cf. also [7]) and for linear mappings was presented by Th. M. Rassias [22] by considering the case when the inequality (1.1) is unbounded. Due to the fact, the additive functional equation is said to have the *generalized Hyers-Ulam stability* (*Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability*) property. Since then, a great deal of work has been done by a

Received July 27, 2010; Accepted August 20, 2010.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B52, 46B25.

Key words and phrases: generalized derivation, fuzzy stability.

Correspondence should be addressed to Ick-Soon Chang, ischang@mokwon.ac.kr.

*This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2010-0007484).

number of authors and the problems concerned with the generalizations and the applications of the stability to functional equations have been developed as well (for instant, [8, 11, 12, 21]). In particular, the stability concerning derivations between operator algebras was first obtained by P. Šemrl [24]. Recently, R. Badora [2] gave a generalization of the Bourgin's result [6]. He also dealt with the Hyers-Ulam stability and the Bourgin-type superstability of derivations in [3].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra over the real or complex field \mathbb{F} . An additive mapping $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *derivation* if the functional equation $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. An additive mapping $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *generalized derivation* if there exists a derivation $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that the functional equation $g(xy) = xg(y) + d(x)y$ is fulfilled for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

A. K. Katrasas [14] defined a fuzzy norm on a vector space to construct a fuzzy vector topological structure on the space. Later, some authors have defined fuzzy norms on a vector space from various points of view [10, 16, 26]. In particular, T. Bag and S. K. Samanta [4], following S. C. Cheng and J. N. Mordeson [9], gave an idea of a fuzzy norm in such a manner that the corresponding fuzzy metric is of Kramosil and Michalek type [15]. They also established a decomposition theorem of a fuzzy norm into a family of crisp norms and investigated some properties of fuzzy normed spaces [5].

Following [4], we give the notation of fuzzy norm as follows (cf. [17, 18, 19, 20]).

DEFINITION 1.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a real vector space. A function $N : \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be a *fuzzy norm* on \mathcal{X} if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (N1) $N(x, a) = 0$ if $a \leq 0$;
- (N2) $x = 0$ if and only if $N(x, a) = 1$ for all $a > 0$;
- (N3) $N(ax, b) = N(x, \frac{b}{|a|})$ if $a \neq 0$;
- (N4) $N(x + y, a + b) \geq \min\{N(x, a), N(y, b)\}$
- (N5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing function on \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} N(x, a) = 1$;
- (N6) For $x \neq 0$, $N(x, \cdot)$ is (upper semi) continuous on \mathbb{R} .

In this case, the pair (\mathcal{X}, N) is called a *fuzzy normed vector space*.

The examples of fuzzy norms and the properties of fuzzy normed vector spaces are given in [17, 18, 19, 20].

DEFINITION 1.3. Let (\mathcal{X}, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} is said to be *convergent* if there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such

that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, a) = 1$ for all $a > 0$. In this case, x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we denote it by $N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let (\mathcal{X}, N) be a fuzzy normed vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{X} is called *Cauchy* if for each ε and each $a > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $p > 0$, we have $N(x_{n+p} - x_n, a) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

It is well known that every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed vector space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be *complete* and the fuzzy normed vector space is called a *fuzzy Banach space*.

DEFINITION 1.5. ([23]) Let \mathcal{X} be an algebra and (\mathcal{X}, N) be a fuzzy Banach space. The pair (\mathcal{X}, N) is said to be a *fuzzy Banach algebra* if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$N(xy, ab) \geq \min\{N(x, a), N(y, b)\}.$$

EXAMPLE 1.6. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra. Then

$$N(x, a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \leq \|x\|, \\ 1 & \text{if } a > \|x\| \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm and so (\mathcal{X}, N) is a fuzzy Banach algebra (See [23]).

In the present article, we use the definition of fuzzy normed spaces given in [17, 18, 19, 20] to establish the stability of generalized derivation in fuzzy Banach algebra.

2. Main results

Throughout this paper, the element e of an algebra will denote a unit.

THEOREM 2.1. Let (\mathcal{A}, N) be a fuzzy Banach algebra with unit and let (\mathcal{C}, N') be a fuzzy normed space. Assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are functions such that for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and some $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$(2.1) \quad N'(\varphi(2x, 2y), t) \geq N'(\alpha\varphi(x, y), t) \quad \text{and} \quad N'(\phi(2x, y), t) \geq N'(\beta\varphi(x, y), t)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$. Suppose that $f, g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are mappings such that

$$(2.2) \quad N(f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y), t) \geq N'(\varphi(x, y), t)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad N(f(xy) - xf(y) - g(x)y, t) \geq N'(\phi(x, y), t)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$. Then $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a generalized derivation.

Proof. It follows from (2.2) and Theorem 3.1 [18] that there exists a unique additive mapping $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad N(f(x) - h(x), t) \geq N'\left(\frac{2\varphi(x, x)}{2 - \alpha}, t\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$, where

$$(2.5) \quad h(x) = N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let us assume that $\Delta : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a function defined by

$$\Delta(x, y) = f(xy) - xf(y) - g(x)y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. By letting $x := 2^n x$ and $t := 2^n s$ in (2.3), then we get

$$N\left(\frac{f(2^n xy)}{2^n} - xf(y) - \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}y, s\right) \geq N'\left(\phi(x, y), \frac{2^n}{\beta^n}s\right),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$, which implies

$$(2.6) \quad N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(2^n x, y)}{2^n} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting $x := 2^n x$, $y := e$ and $t := 2^n s$ in (2.3), then we have

$$N\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} + xf(e), s\right) \geq N'\left(\phi(x, e), \frac{2^n}{\beta^n}s\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So we deduce that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} + xf(e), s\right) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - (h(x) - xf(e)), s\right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ N\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} + xf(e), \frac{s}{2}\right), N\left(\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - h(x), \frac{s}{2}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. By (2.5) and (2.7), we get

$$h(x) - xf(e) = N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. If we define a mapping $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\delta(x) = h(x) - xf(e)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then, by the additivity of h that δ is additive.

Letting $x := 2^n x$ and $y := e$ in (2.3), then we have

$$(2.8) \quad N(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e), s) \geq N'\left(\phi(x, e), \frac{1}{\beta^n} s\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. In (2.4), setting $x := 2^n x$, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad N(f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), t) \geq N'\left(\frac{2\varphi(x, x)}{2 - \alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha^n} t\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$. The conditions (2.8) and (2.9) imply that

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e), s) = 1$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), t) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s, t > 0$. In particular, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & N(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e) + f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), s) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ N\left(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e), \frac{s}{2}\right), N\left(f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), \frac{s}{2}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. Thus, by virtue of (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e) + f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), s) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. It follows from the additivity of h that

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \delta(x)\right)y, s\right) = N\left(\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - (h(x) - xf(e))\right)y, s\right) \\ & = N((g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e) + f(2^n x) - h(2^n x))y, 2^n s) \\ & \geq \min\{N(g(2^n x) - f(2^n x) + 2^n xf(e) + f(2^n x) - h(2^n x), s), N(y, 2^n)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. So we have by (2.12)

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \delta(x)\right)y, s\right) = 1$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. We now note that

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(xf(y) + \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}y + \frac{\Delta(2^n x, y)}{2^n} - xf(y) - \delta(x)y, s\right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ N\left(\left(\frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n} - \delta(x)\right)y, \frac{s}{2}\right), N\left(\frac{\Delta(2^n x, y)}{2^n}, \frac{s}{2}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. In view of (2.6) and (2.13), we see that

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(xf(y) + \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}y + \frac{\Delta(2^n x, y)}{2^n} - xf(y) - \delta(x)y, s\right) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. Now, using (2.5) and (2.14), we get

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} h(xy) &= N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x \cdot y)}{2^n} \\ &= N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[xf(y) + \frac{g(2^n x)}{2^n}y + \frac{\Delta(2^n x, y)}{2^n} \right] \\ &= xf(y) + \delta(x)y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Applying (2.15) and the additivity of δ , we obtain

$$xf(2^n y) + \delta(x) \cdot 2^n y = h(x \cdot 2^n y) = h(2^n x \cdot y) = 2^n xf(y) + \delta(x) \cdot 2^n y,$$

which means that

$$x \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} = xf(y).$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence we get

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - xf(y), s\right) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. In particular, we have by the additivity of h ,

$$\begin{aligned} &N\left(x \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - xh(y), s\right) \\ &= N(xf(2^n y) - xh(2^n y), 2^n s) \\ &\geq \min\{N(x, 2^n), N(f(2^n y) - h(2^n y), s)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$. This inequality and (2.11) guarantee the following

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(x \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} - xh(y), s\right) = 1$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $s > 0$.

Now it follows by (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$(2.18) \quad xf(y) = N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x \frac{f(2^n y)}{2^n} = xh(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Consequently, (2.15) becomes

$$h(xy) = xh(y) + \delta(x)y$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.

On the other hand,

$$\delta(xy) = h(xy) - xyf(e) = x(h(y) - yf(e)) + \delta(x)y = x\delta(y) + \delta(x)y,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. That is, δ is a derivation.

Letting $x = e$ in (2.18), we have $f = h$. Therefore, we conclude f is a generalized derivation, which completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{A}, N) be a fuzzy Banach algebra with unit and let (\mathcal{C}, N') be a fuzzy normed space. Assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\phi : \mathcal{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are functions such that for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and some $0 < \beta < 1$,*

$$N'(\varphi(2x, 2y), t) \geq N'(\alpha\varphi(x, y), t) \quad \text{and} \quad N'(\phi(2x, y), t) \geq N'(\beta\varphi(x, y), t)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a mapping such that

$$N(f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y), t) \geq N'(\varphi(x, y), t)$$

and

$$N(f(xy) - xf(y) - f(x)y, t) \geq N'(\phi(x, y), t)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $t > 0$. Then $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a derivation.

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc., Japan **2** (1950), 64-66.
- [2] R. Badora, *On approximate ring homomorphisms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 589-597.
- [3] R. Badora, *On approximate derivations*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **9** (2006), 167-173.
- [4] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math **11** (2003), 687-705.
- [5] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, *Fuzzy bounded linear operators*, Fuzzy sets and systems **151** (2005), 513-547.
- [6] D. G. Bourgin, *Approximately isometric and multiplicative transformations on continuous function rings*, Duke Math. J. **16** (1949), 385-397.
- [7] D. G. Bourgin, *Classes of transformations and bordering transformations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **57** (1951), 223-237.
- [8] I.-S. Chang and Y.-S. Jung, *Stability for the functional equation of cubic type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **334** (2007), 85-96.
- [9] S. C. Cheng and J. N. Mordeson, *Fuzzy linear operators and fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **86** (1994), 429-436.
- [10] Felbin, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Fuzzy sets and systems **48** (1992), 239-248.

- [11] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431-434.
- [12] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431-436.
- [13] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [14] A.K. Katsaras, *Fuzzy topological vector spaces II*, Fuzzy sets and systems **12** (1984), 143-154.
- [15] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika **11** (1975), 326-334.
- [16] S. V. Krishna and K. K. M. Sarma, *Separation of fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Fuzzy sets and systems **63** (1994), 207-214.
- [17] A. K. Mirmostafae, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation*, Fuzzy sets and systems **159** (2008), 730-738.
- [18] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem*, Fuzzy sets and systems **159** (2008), 720-729.
- [19] A. K. Mirmostafae and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy approximately cubic mappings*, Inform. Sci. **178** (2008), 3791-3798.
- [20] A. K. Mirmostafae and M.S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy almost quadratic functions*, Results Math. **52** (2008), 161-171.
- [21] T. Miura, G. Hirasawa and S.-E. Takahasi, *A perturbation of ring derivations on Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **319** (2006), 522-530.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [23] I. Sadeqi and A. Amiripour, *Fuzzy Banach algebra*, First joint congress on fuzzy and intelligent systems, Ferdorwsi university of mashhad, Iran, 29-31 Aug 2007.
- [24] P. Šemrl, *The functional equation of multiplicative derivation is superstable on standard operator algebras*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory **18** (1994), 118-122.
- [25] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York (1968), p.63.
- [26] J.-Z. Xiao and X.-H. Zhu, *Fuzzy normed linear spaces of operators and its completeness*, Fuzzy sets and systems **133** (2003), 389-399.

*

Department of Mathematics
 Mokwon University
 Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ischang@mokwon.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics
 Chungnam University
 Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: mykim@cnu.ac.kr