# GALOIS ACTIONS OF A CLASS INVARIANT OVER QUADRATIC NUMBER FIELDS WITH DISCRIMINANT

$$D \equiv -3 \pmod{36}$$

Daeyeol Jeon\*

ABSTRACT. A class invariant is the value of a modular function that generates a ring class field of an imaginary quadratic number field such as the singular moduli of level 1. In this paper, using Shimura Reciprocity Law, we compute the Galois actions of a class invariant from a generalized Weber function  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  over quadratic number fields with discriminant  $D \equiv -3 \pmod{36}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic number field with the discriminant D with ring of integer  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  where

(1.1) 
$$\theta := \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ \frac{-1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then the theory of complex multiplication states that the modular invariant  $j(\mathcal{O}) = j(\theta)$  generates the ring class field  $H_{\mathcal{O}}$  over K with degree  $[H_{\mathcal{O}}:K] = h(\mathcal{O})$ , the class number of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the conjugates of  $j(\theta)$  under the action of  $Gal(H_{\mathcal{O}}/K)$  are singular moduli  $j(\tau)$ , where  $\tau := \tau_Q$  is the Heegner point determined by  $Q(\tau_Q, 1) = 0$  for a positive definite integral primitive binary quadratic forms

$$Q(x,y) = [a,b,c] = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$

with discriminant  $D = b^2 - 4ac$ .

Received October 20, 2010; Accepted November 24, 2010.

 $<sup>2010 \ {\</sup>it Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F37; Secondary 11F30.}$ 

Key words and phrases: class invariants, Galois actions, Weber functions.

This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation(KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST)(No. 2010-0000607).

In his Lehrbuch der Algebra [8], H. Weber calls the value of a modular function  $f(\theta)$  a class invariant if we have

$$K(f(\theta)) = K(j(\theta)).$$

Despite a long history of the problem, one began to treat class invariants in a systemic and algorithmic way only after Shimura Reciprocity Law [6] became available. The reciprocity law provides not only a method of systematically determining whether  $f(\theta)$  is a class invariant but also a description of the Galois conjugates of  $f(\theta)$  under  $Gal(H_{\mathcal{O}}/K)$ . This tool is well illustrated in several works by Reinier M. Bröker, Alice Gee, and Peter Stevenhagen in [1, 3, 4, 5, 7]. Bröker's Ph. D thesis [1] discusses p-adic theory of class invariants as well.

Gee determined the class invariants from a generalized Weber function  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  by using the Shimura Reciprocity Law as follows:

Theorem 1.1. [4, p.73, Theorem 1] Let K be an imaginary quadratic number field of discriminant  $D \equiv -3 \pmod{36}$  with the ring of integer  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ . Suppose  $\theta = \frac{-B + \sqrt{D}}{2}$  as defined in (1.1). Then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)$  gives an integral generator for  $H_{\mathcal{O}}$  over K.

In this paper, we compute the Galois actions of the class invariant  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)$  under  $Gal(H_{\mathcal{O}}/K)$ .

## 2. Preliminary

Let  $\mathcal{Q}_D^0$  be the set of primitive quadratic forms and  $C(D) = \mathcal{Q}_D^0/\Gamma(1)$  denote the form class group of discriminant D. Since  $Gal(H_{\mathcal{O}}/K)$  is isomorphic to C(D), it suffices to compute the action of a primitive quadratic form Q = [a, b, c] on the class invariant  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)$ .

THEOREM 2.1. [2, 3] Let  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  be the ring of integers of an imaginary quadratic number field K of discriminant D and let Q = [a,b,c] be a primitive quadratic form of discriminant D. Let  $\theta = \frac{-B+\sqrt{D}}{2}$  as defined in (1.1) and  $\tau_Q = \frac{-b+\sqrt{-D}}{2a}$ . Let  $M = M_{[a,b,c]} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  be given as follows: For  $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$(2.1) \qquad M \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \binom{a & \frac{b}{2}}{0 & 1} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \nmid a; \\ \binom{-\frac{b}{2} & -c}{1 & 0} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \nmid c; \\ \binom{-\frac{b}{2} - a & -\frac{b}{2} - c}{1 & -1} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid c, \end{array} \right.$$

and for  $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad M \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \binom{a & \frac{b-1}{2}}{0 & 1} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \nmid a; \\ \binom{-b-1}{2} & -c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \nmid c; \\ \binom{-b-1}{2} - a & -\frac{1-b}{2} - c \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \pmod{p^{r_p}} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid c. \end{array} \right.$$

where p runs over all prime factors of N and  $p^{r_p}||N$ . Then the Galois action of the class of [a, -b, c] in C(D) with respect to the Artin map is given by

$$f(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]} = f^M(\tau_Q)$$

for any modular function f of level N such that  $f(\theta) \in H_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Here  $f^M$  denote the image of f under the action of M.

The action of M depends only on  $M_m$  for all primes p|N where  $M_m \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  is the reduction modulo m of M. Every  $M_m$  with determinant x decomposes as  $M_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by  $S_m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $T_m \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , it suffices to find the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}_{p^{r_p}}$ ,  $S_{p^{r_p}}$  and  $T_{p^{r_p}}$  on f for all p|N. Denote  $\zeta_n$  by a primitive nth root of unity. For  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}_{p^{r_p}}$ , the action on f is given by lifting the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$  determined by

$$\zeta_{p^{r_p}} \mapsto \zeta_{p^{r_p}}^x$$
 and  $\zeta_{q^{r_q}} \mapsto \zeta_{q^{r_q}}$ 

for all prime factors q|N with  $q \neq p$ . In order that the actions of the matrices at different primes commute with each other, we lift  $S_{p^{r_p}}$  and  $T_{p^{r_p}}$  to matrices in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  such that they reduce to the identity matrix in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/q^{r_q}\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $q \neq p$ .

The Dedekind-eta function

$$\eta(z) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \text{ with } q = e^{2\pi i z}$$

is holomorphic and non-zero for z in the complex upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\Delta(z) = \eta^{24}(z)$  is modular form of weight 12 with no poles or zeros on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then we have generalized Weber functions as follows:

(2.3) 
$$\mathfrak{g}_0(z) = \frac{\eta(\frac{z}{3})}{\eta(z)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_1(z) = \zeta_{24}^{-1} \frac{\eta(\frac{z+1}{3})}{\eta(z)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_2(z) = \frac{\eta(\frac{z+2}{3})}{\eta(z)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_3(z) = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3z)}{\eta(z)}.$$

Note that the functions in (2.3) are modular of level 72. For the generating matrices  $S, T \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  given by  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the transformation rules  $\eta \circ S(z) = \sqrt{-iz}\eta(z)$  and  $\eta \circ T(z) = \zeta_{24}\eta(z)$  hold. Hence

(2.4) 
$$(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_3) \circ S = (\mathfrak{g}_3, \zeta_{24}^{-2} \mathfrak{g}_2, \zeta_{24}^2 \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_0),$$

$$(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_3) \circ T = (\mathfrak{g}_1, \zeta_{24}^{-2} \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_0, \zeta_{24}^2 \mathfrak{g}_3).$$

### 3. Results

In this section, we compute the action of a primitive quadratic form Q = [a, b, c] on the class invariant  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)$ . For that we need to find the action of  $M_m \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  with m = 8, 9. Combining Lemma 6 of [3] and the transformation rule (2.4), we obtain the following:

LEMMA 3.1. The actions of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}_m$ ,  $S_m$  and  $T_m$  (m = 8, 9) on  $\mathfrak{g}_i^2$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3) are given by

Using this, together with Theorem 2.1, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3.2. Let  $D \equiv -3 \pmod{36}$  be a discriminant of an order  $\mathcal{O} = [\theta,1]$  in an imaginary quadratic field. Let  $\theta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$ ,  $\tau_Q = \frac{-b+\sqrt{D}}{2a}$  and  $u = (-1)^{\frac{b+1}{2}+ac+a+c}$ . If [a,b,c] be a reduced primitive quadratic form of discriminant D, then the actions of [a,-b,c] on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)$  are as follows:

- (1) The case  $3 \nmid a$ .
  - a) If  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following table:

	$b \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 1  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 2  (\text{mod}  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 4  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 5  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2( au_Q)$
$a \equiv 7  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{-u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 8  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_0^2(\tau_Q)$

b) If  $a + b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}} \mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following table:

	$b \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2( au_Q)$
$a \equiv 4  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$

	$b \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 2  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 5  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 8  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$

c) If  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $a+b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}} \mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following table:

	$b \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$
$a \equiv 4  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 7  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$

	$b \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$

(2) The cases 3|a and  $3 \nmid c$ . a) If  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}} \mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following

	$b \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$
$c \equiv 1  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$-rac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$
$c \equiv 2  (\bmod  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$
$c \equiv 4  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$rac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$
$c \equiv 5  (\bmod  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$
$c \equiv 7  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$
$c \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_3^2(\tau_Q)$

b) If  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $b+c \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following table:

	$b \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2( au_Q)$

$$a \equiv 7 \pmod{9} \quad \frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q) \quad \frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q) \quad \frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$$

	$b \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 2  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 8  (\text{mod}  9)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\tau_Q)$

c) If  $b+c\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ 3)$ , then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]}$  is given by the following table:

	$b \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2( au_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 4  (\bmod  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 7  (\text{mod}  9)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2( au_Q)$

	$b \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$	$b \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$
$a \equiv 2  (\bmod  9)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$
$a \equiv 8 \pmod{9}$	$-\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3^2}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$	$-\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q)$

(3) The cases 3|a and 3|c.

a) If 
$$a - c \equiv -3 \pmod{9}$$
, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_2^2(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]} = \begin{cases} \frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q) & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}; \\ -\frac{u\zeta_3}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_1^2(\tau_Q) & \text{if } b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

b) If  $a - c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{2}(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]} = \begin{cases} \frac{u\zeta_{3}^{2}}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{2}(\tau_{Q}) & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}; \\ -\frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{2}(\tau_{Q}) & \text{if } b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

c) If  $a - c \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{2}(\theta)^{[a,-b,c]} = \begin{cases} \frac{u}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{2}(\tau_{Q}) & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{3}; \\ -\frac{u\zeta_{3}^{2}}{\sqrt{-3}}\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{2}(\tau_{Q}) & \text{if } b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; \end{cases}$$

## Acknowledgments

We thank S.-Y. Kang and C.H. Kim for their kind and valuable suggestions in proving the results of this paper.

#### References

- R. M. Bröker, Constructing elliptic curves of prescribed order, Ph. D. Thesis, Universiteit Leiden, 2006.
- [2] H. H. Chan, Gee, A., and V. Tan, Cubic singular moduli, Ramanujan's class invariants  $\lambda_n$  and the explicit Shimura reciprocity law, Pacific J. Math. 208 (2003) 23–37.
- [3] A. Gee, Class invariants by Shimura's reciprocity law, J. Théor. Nombre Bordeaux 11 (1999) 45–72.
- [4] A. Gee, Class fields by Shimura reciprocity, Ph. D. Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2001.
- [5] A. Gee and P. Stevenhagen, , Generating class fields using Shimura reciprocity, Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Algorithmic Number Theory (Lecture Notes in Computer Sciences 1423, 441-453, Springer-Verlag, 1998).
- [6] G. Shimura, Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic forms, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [7] P. Stevenhagen, Hilbert's 12th problem, complex multiplication and Shimura reciprocity, Class field theory-its centenary and prospect, ed. K. Miyake, Adv. Studies in pure math., 30 (2001), 161-176.
- [8] H. Weber, Lehrbuch der Algebra, dritter Band, Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn, 1908.

\*

Department of Mathematics Education Kongju National University Kongju 314-701, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: dyjeon@kongju.ac.kr