

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE LOMAX, EXPONENTIAL AND PARETO DISTRIBUTIONS BY CONDITIONAL EXPECTATIONS OF RECORD VALUES

MIN-YOUNG LEE* AND EUN-HYUK LIM**

ABSTRACT. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with absolutely continuous cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F(x)$ and probability density function (pdf) $f(x)$. Suppose $X_{U(m)}, m = 1, 2, \dots$ be the upper record values of $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$. It is shown that the linearity of the conditional expectation of $X_{U(n+2)}$ given $X_{U(n)}$ characterizes the lomax, exponential and pareto distributions.

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with absolutely continuous cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F(x)$ and probability density function (pdf) $f(x)$. Suppose $Y_n = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ for $n \geq 1$. We say X_j is an upper record value of $\{X_n\}$ if $Y_j > Y_{j-1}$. By definition, X_1 is an upper record value. The indices at which the record values occur are given by the record value times $U(n)$ where $U(1) = 1$ and $U(n) = \min\{k | k > U(n-1), X_k > X_{U(n-1)}\}$, $n > 1$.

We denote by $X \in LOMAX(\mu, \sigma, v)$ if the random variable X has the corresponding cdf $F(x)$ of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - (1 + \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^{-v}, & x \geq \mu, \sigma > 0 \text{ and } v > 0 \\ 0 & , otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Received January 07, 2009; Revised February 16, 2009; Accepted February 17, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 62E15, 62E10.

Key words and phrases: absolutely continuous distribution, characterization, conditional expectation, the lomax, exponential & pareto distribution, record values.

Correspondence should be addressed to Min-Young Lee, leemy@dankook.ac.kr.

Similarly, we denote by $X \in EXP(\lambda)$ the exponential distribution has the cdf $F(x)$ of the following form :

$$(1.2) \quad F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & , x > 0, \lambda > 0, \\ 0 & , otherwise. \end{cases}$$

For the Pareto distribution, $X \in PAR(\alpha, \beta)$, we take the following cdf :

$$(1.3) \quad F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - (\frac{\alpha}{x})^\beta & , x \geq \alpha, \beta > 0, \\ 0 & , otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Using the conditional expectation of $X_{U(n+k)}$ given $X_{U(n)} = y$, we show that for the above three distributions

$$(1.4) \quad E(X_{U(n+k)} | X_{U(n)} = y) = ay + b$$

for some constants a and b .

Nagaraja(1977) characterized the Pareto distribution that if $E[h(X_{L_1} | X_{L_0} = y)] = k(y)$ almost surely with respect to the distribution of X_{L_0} where $k(y)$ is a nondecreasing function on $[c, d]$, then $F(x)$ is uniquely determined. Lee(2002) showed that $X \in EXP(\lambda)$ if and only if $E[X_{U(n+i)} - X_{U(n)} | X_{U(n)} = y] = ic$, $i = 3, 4$, $n \geq m + 1$.

In this paper we show that relation (1.4) characterizes the lomax, exponential and pareto distributions for $k = 2$.

2. Results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution function $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $E(X_n^2) < \infty$. If $E[X_{U(n+2)} | X_{U(n)} = y] = y$, then $F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}}$, $x > 0$.*

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution function $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $E(X_n^2) < \infty$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}, \lambda > 0, \alpha > 1 \text{ if and only if} \\ &E[X_{U(n+2)} | X_{U(n)} = y] = y + \frac{2}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with common distribution function $F(x)$ which is absolutely continuous with pdf $f(x)$ and $E(X_n^2) < \infty$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}, \quad x > 1, \quad \alpha > 1 \text{ if and only if} \\ &E[X_{U(n+2)} \mid X_{U(n)} = y] = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right)^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose $E[X_{U(n+2)} \mid X_{U(n)} = y] = y$. Using Ahsanullah formula(1995), we get the following equation

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{1 - F(y)} \int_y^\infty \left(\ln \frac{1 - F(y)}{1 - F(x)} \right) x f(x) dx = y.$$

Since $F(x)$ is absolutely continuous, we can differentiate both sides of (3.1) with respect to y and simplify and we obtain the following equation

$$(3.2) \quad 3 + \frac{(1 - F(y))f'(y)}{f^2(y)} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad -3 \frac{f(y)}{1 - F(y)} = \frac{f'(y)}{f(y)}.$$

Integrating (3.2) with respect to y and using the boundary conditions $F(0) = 0$ and $f(0) = 1$, we get

$$(3.3) \quad (1 - F(y))^3 = f(y).$$

By the existence and uniqueness theorem of the differential equation with the prescribed initial conditions, we obtain $F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2x+1}}$ from (3.3).

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. If $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, $\lambda > 0$, $x > 0$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E[X_{U(n+2)} \mid X_{U(n)} = y] &= e^{\lambda y} \int_y^\infty \left(\ln \frac{e^{\lambda x}}{e^{\lambda y}} \right) x (\lambda e^{\lambda x}) dx \\ &= y + \frac{2}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.1) holds. Conversely, suppose (2.1) holds. From Ahsanullah formula (1995), we can obtain the following equation

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{1 - F(y)} \int_y^\infty \left(\ln \frac{1 - F(y)}{1 - F(x)} \right) x f(x) dx = y + \frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0.$$

Since $F(x)$ is absolutely continuous, we can differentiate both sides of (3.5) with respect to y and simplify and we get the following equation

$$(3.6) \quad 3(1 - F(y))f^2(y) - \frac{2}{\lambda}f^3(y) + (1 - F(y))^2f'(y) = 0.$$

Let $y = F(y)$ (i.e. $y' = f(y)$, $y'' = f'(y)$). Then (3.6) expressed by the following form

$$(3.7) \quad 3(1 - y)y'^2 - \frac{2}{\lambda}(y')^3 + (1 - y)^2y'' = 0.$$

Therefore there exists a unique solution of the differential equation (3.7) that satisfies the initial conditions $y(0) = 0$, $y'(0) = \lambda$ and $y''(0) = -\lambda^2$. By the existence and uniqueness theorem, we get $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$.

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. If $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\alpha x}$, $x > 1$, $\alpha > 1$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} E[X_{U(n+2)} | X_{U(n)} = y] &= y^\alpha \int_y^\infty \left(\ln \frac{x^\alpha}{y^\alpha}\right) x (\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.2) holds. Conversely, suppose (2.2) holds. From Ahsanullah formula (1995), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{1 - F(y)} \int_y^\infty \left(\ln \frac{1 - F(y)}{1 - F(x)}\right) x f(x) dx = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2 y, \text{ for } \alpha > 1.$$

Since $F(x)$ is absolutely continuous, we can differentiate both sides of (3.9) with respect to y and simplify and we get

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 3\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2(1 - F(y)) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha}yf(y) \\ + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\right)^2 \frac{(1 - F(y))^2f'(y)}{f^2(y)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the existence and uniqueness theorem, there exists a unique solution of the differential equation (3.10) that satisfies the initial conditions $F(1) = 0$, $f(1) = \alpha$ and $f'(1) = -\alpha(\alpha + 1)$. Thus we get $F(x) = 1 - x^{-\alpha}$ from (3.10).

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M. Ahsanullah, *Record Statistics*, Nova Science publishers, Inc, Commack NY, 1995.
- [2] M. Y. Lee, S. K. Chang and K. H. Jung, *Characterizations of the exponential distribution by order statistics and conditional expectations of record values*, Commun. Korea Math. Soc. **17** (2002), 535-540.
- [3] H. N. Nagaraja, *On a characterization based on record values*, Austial. J. Statist **20** (1977), 70-73.

*

Department of Mathematics
Dankook University
Cheonan 330-714, Republic of Korea
E-mail: leemy@dankook.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics
Dankook University
Cheonan 330-714, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ehlim@dankook.ac.kr