

ON PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS WITH SYMMETRIC n -DERIVATIONS

KYOON-HONG PARK*

ABSTRACT. Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let R be a noncommutative $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exists a symmetric n -derivation $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ such that the trace of Δ is centralizing on R . Then the trace is commuting on R . If R is a $n!$ -torsion free prime ring and $\Delta \neq 0$ under the same condition. Then R is commutative.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, R always represents an associative ring and Z is its center. Let $x, y, z \in R$. We write the notation $[y, x]$ for the commutator $yx - xy$ and make use of the identities $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$ and $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$. Recall that R is *semiprime* if $aRa = 0$ implies $a = 0$ and R is *prime* if $aRb = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. A map $f : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be *commuting* on R if $[f(x), x] = 0$ holds for all $x \in R$. It is said that a map $f : R \rightarrow R$ is *centralizing* on R if $[f(x), x] \in Z$ is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. An additive map $D : R \rightarrow R$ is called a *derivation* if the Leibniz rule $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and $R^n = R \times R \times \cdots \times R$. A map $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ is said to be *symmetric* (or *permuting*) if the equation $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \Delta(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ holds for all $x_i \in R$ and for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)\}$. Let us consider the following map:

Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer. An n -additive map $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ (i.e., additive in each argument) will be called an *n -derivation* if the

Received May 29, 2009; Accepted August 14, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16W20, 16W25.

Key words and phrases: prime ring, semiprime ring, commuting map, centralizing map, symmetric n -derivation.

relations

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x_1x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_1' + x_1\Delta(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n), \\ \Delta(x_1, x_2x_2', \dots, x_n) &= \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_2' + x_2\Delta(x_1, x_2', \dots, x_n), \\ &\vdots \\ \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_nx_n') &= \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_n' + x_n\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n')\end{aligned}$$

are valid for all $x_i, x_i' \in R$. Of course, an 1-derivation is a derivation and a 2-derivation is called a bi-derivation. If Δ is symmetric, then the above equalities are equivalent to each other. In particular, in case when $n = 2$, namely, Δ is a symmetric bi-derivation on noncommutative 2-torsion free prime rings, M. Brešar [1, Theorem 3.5] proved that $\Delta = 0$.

Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer. If R is commutative, then a map $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto D(x_1)D(x_2) \cdots D(x_n)$$

for all $x_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a symmetric n -derivation, where D is a derivation on R .

On the other hand, let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where \mathbb{C} is a complex field. It is clear that R is a noncommutative ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication. We define a map $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that Δ is a symmetric n -derivation.

Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let a map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\delta(x) = \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for all $x \in R$, where $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ is a symmetric map, be the *trace* of Δ . It is obvious that, in case when $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ is a symmetric map which is also n -additive, the trace δ of Δ satisfies the relation

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} {}_n C_k h_k(x; y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$, where ${}_nC_k = \binom{n}{k}$ and

$$h_k(x; y) = \Delta(\overbrace{x, x, \dots, x}^{n-k \text{ times}}, \overbrace{y, y, \dots, y}^{k \text{ times}}).$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \Delta(0 + 0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \Delta(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \Delta(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in R$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, we obtain $\Delta(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_i \in R$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \Delta(x_1 - x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \Delta(-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

and so we see that $\Delta(-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = -\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for all $x_i \in R$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This tells us that δ is an odd function if n is odd and δ is an even function if n is even.

A study on the theory of centralizing (commuting) maps on prime rings was initiated by the classical result of E.C. Posner [5] which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring R implies that R is commutative. Since then, a great deal of work in this context has been done by a number of authors (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). For example, as a study concerning centralizing (commuting) maps, J. Vukman [6, 7] investigated symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings. In [3], we obtained the similar results to Posner's and Vukman's ones for permuting 3-derivations on prime and semiprime rings. Our main purpose in this paper is to apply the result due to E.C. Posner [5, Theorem 2] to symmetric n -derivations.

2. Results

We first precede the proof of our results by two well-known lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 ([4]). *Let R be a prime ring. Let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation and $a \in R$. If $aD(x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in R$, then we have either $a = 0$ or $D = 0$.*

LEMMA 2.2 ([2]). *Let n be a fixed positive integer and let R be a $n!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose that $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in R$ satisfy $\lambda y_1 + \lambda^2 y_2 + \dots + \lambda^n y_n = 0$ for $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $y_i = 0$ for all i .*

We start our investigation of symmetric n -derivations with the following result.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let R be a noncommutative $n!$ -torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a symmetric n -derivation $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ such that the trace δ of Δ is commuting on R . Then we have $\Delta = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$(1) \quad [\delta(x), x] = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Let λ ($1 \leq \lambda \leq n$) be any integer. Substituting $x + \lambda y$ for x in (1) and using (1), we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = & \lambda \{ [\delta(x), y] + {}_n C_1 [h_1(x; y), x] \} \\ & + \lambda^2 \{ {}_n C_1 [h_1(x; y), y] + {}_n C_2 [h_2(x; y), x] \} \\ & + \cdots + \lambda^n \{ [\delta(y), x] + {}_n C_{n-1} [h_{n-1}(x; y), y] \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. From Lemma 2.2 and (2), we infer that

$$(3) \quad [\delta(x), y] + n[h_1(x; y), x] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

Let us write in (3) xy instead of y . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & [\delta(x), xy] + n[h_1(x; xy), x] \\ = & x \{ [\delta(x), y] + n[h_1(x; y), x] \} + n\delta(x)[y, x] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(4) \quad n\delta(x)[y, x] = 0 = \delta(x)[y, x]$$

for all $x, y \in R$. From (4) and Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\delta(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$ ($x \notin Z$) since for any fixed $x \in R$, a map $y \mapsto [y, x]$ is a derivation on R .

Now, let $x \in R$ ($x \in Z$) and $y \in R$ ($y \notin Z$). Then $y + \lambda x \notin Z$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta(y + \lambda x) &= \delta(y) + \lambda^n \delta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda^k {}_n C_k h_k(y; x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda^k {}_n C_k h_k(y; x) + \lambda^n \delta(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and applying this relation to Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\delta(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$ ($x \in Z$). Therefore, we conclude that

$$(5) \quad \delta(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$.

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let

$$P_k(x) = \Delta(\overbrace{x, x, \dots, x}^{k \text{ times}}, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n),$$

where $x, x_i \in R$, $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$. Let μ ($1 \leq \mu \leq n-1$) be any integer. By (5), the relation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(\mu x + x_n) = P_n(\mu x + x_n) \\ &= \mu^n \delta(x) + \delta(x_n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu^k {}_n C_k P_k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu^k {}_n C_k P_k(x) \end{aligned}$$

is true for all $x, x_n \in R$, that is,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu^k {}_n C_k P_k(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Thus Lemma 2.1 and (6) give

$$(7) \quad {}_n C_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) = 0 = P_{n-1}(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. Let ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq n-2$) be any integer. By (7), the relation

$$0 = P_{n-1}(\nu x + x_{n-1}) = \nu^{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) + P_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \nu^k {}_n C_k P_k(x)$$

holds for all $x, x_{n-1} \in R$ and hence we see that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \nu^k {}_n C_k P_k(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Using Lemma 2.1 and (8), we get

$${}_n C_{n-2} P_{n-2}(x) = 0 = P_{n-2}(x)$$

for all $x \in R$. Now if we continue to carry out the same method as above, we finally arrive at

$${}_nC_1 P_1(x) = 0 = P_1(x)$$

for all $x \in R$ which means

$$\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $x_i \in R$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Here we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let n be a fixed positive integer and let R be a $n!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose that $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in R$ satisfy $\lambda y_1 + \lambda^2 y_2 + \dots + \lambda^n y_n \in Z$ for $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $y_i \in Z$ for all i .*

Proof. The arguments used in the proof of Lemma 2.2 carry over almost verbatim. \square

We continue with the next result for symmetric n -derivations on semiprime rings.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let R be a noncommutative $n!$ -torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exists a symmetric n -derivation $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ such that the trace δ of Δ is centralizing on R . Then δ is commuting on R .*

Proof. Assume that

$$(9) \quad [\delta(x), x] \in Z$$

for all $x \in R$. Let λ ($1 \leq \lambda \leq n$) be any positive integer. By replacing x by $x + \lambda y$ in (9) and utilizing (9), we obtain

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} Z \ni & \lambda \{ [\delta(x), y] + {}_nC_1[h_1(x; y), x] \} \\ & + \lambda^2 \{ {}_nC_1[h_1(x; y), y] + {}_nC_2[h_2(x; y), x] \} \\ & + \dots + \lambda^n \{ [\delta(y), x] + {}_nC_{n-1}[h_{n-1}(x; y), y] \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. From Lemma 2.4 and (10), it follows that

$$(11) \quad [\delta(x), y] + n[h_1(x; y), x] \in Z$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Taking $y = x^2$ in (11) and invoking (11) show

$$(12) \quad Z \ni [\delta(x), x^2] + n[h_1(x; x^2), x] = (2n + 2)[\delta(x), x]x$$

for all $x \in R$ and commuting with $\delta(x)$ in (12) gives

$$(13) \quad (2n + 2)[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0$$

for all $x \in R$.

On the other hand, substituting y by xy in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\ni [\delta(x), xy] + n[h_1(x; xy), x] \\ &= x\{[\delta(x), y] + n[h_1(x; y), x]\} + n\delta(x)[y, x] + (n+1)[\delta(x), x]y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and so we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} &[x\{[\delta(x), y] + n[h_1(x; y), x]\}, x] \\ &+ [n\delta(x)[y, x] + (n+1)[\delta(x), x]y, x] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Using (11), it follows from (14) that

$$(15) \quad n\delta(x)[[y, x], x] + (2n+1)[\delta(x), x][y, x] = 0$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

The substitution $\delta(x)y$ for y in (15) and the relation (9) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(x)\{n\delta(x)[[y, x], x] + (2n+1)[\delta(x), x][y, x]\} \\ &\quad + 2n\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + (2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^2y \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in R$ which, according to (15), reduces to

$$(16) \quad 2n\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + (2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^2y = 0$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Taking $y = [\delta(x), x]$ into (16), we arrive at $(2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^3 = 0$ and so we have

$$(2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^2R(2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. From the semiprimeness of R , we see that

$$(17) \quad (2n+1)[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. Now, combining (17) with (13) leads to the relation $[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0$ for all $x \in R$. Since the center of a semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we conclude that $[\delta(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Our main result, which is an analogue of Posner's theorem [5, Theorem 2], is as follows:

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let R be a $n!$ -torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a nonzero symmetric n -derivation $\Delta : R^n \rightarrow R$ such that the trace δ of Δ is centralizing on R . Then R is commutative.*

Proof. Suppose that R is noncommutative. Then it follows from Theorem 2.5 that δ is commuting on R . Hence Theorem 2.3 gives $\Delta = 0$ which is a contradiction. This guarantees the conclusion of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] M. Brešar, *Commuting maps: a survey*, Taiwanese J. Math. **8** (2004), no. 3, 361-397.
- [2] L.O. Chung and J. Luh, *Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivations*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24**(1981), no. 4, 415-421.
- [3] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, *On prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), 789-794.
- [4] J. Mayne, *Centralizing mappings of prime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **27** (1984), 122-126.
- [5] E. C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093-1100.
- [6] J. Vukman, *Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings*, Aequationes Math. **38** (1989), 245-254.
- [7] ———, *Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings*, Aequationes Math. **40** (1990), 181-189.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
Seowon University
Cheongju 361-742, Republic of Korea
E-mail: parkkh@seowon.ac.kr