

## THE NIELSEN NUMBER ON ASPHERICAL WEDGE

SEUNG WON KIM\*

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a finite polyhedron that is of the homotopy type of the wedge of the torus and the surface with boundary. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-map of  $X$ . In this paper, we prove that if the induced endomorphism of  $\pi_1(X)$  is  $K$ -reduced, then there is an algorithm for computing the Nielsen number  $N(f)$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a finite aspherical polyhedron with the homotopy type of the wedge of a torus and a surface with boundary and let  $f$  be a self-map of  $X$ . The Nielsen number  $N(f)$ , by its homotopy invariance, provides a lower bound for the minimum number of fixed points over all maps homotopic to  $f$ . The Nielsen number is easy to define geometrically, but it is very difficult to compute. See [2] or [5] for the details.

For a given space  $X$ , the algebraic properties of fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  are quite important to compute the Nielsen numbers on it. If  $\pi_1(X)$  is a free or a free product group, then it is very difficult to compute the Nielsen number on  $X$ . See [8].

In [7], Khamsemanan and Kim estimate the Nielsen number for maps of aspherical spaces including  $X$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove that the Nielsen number for many maps of  $X$  is explicitly computed which is just estimated in [7]. Our main result is

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If an endomorphism of  $\pi_1(X)$  is  $K$ -reduced, then there is an algorithm for computing the Nielsen number.*

---

Received October 14, 2008; Accepted November 20, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 55M20.

Key words and phrases: Nielsen number, fixed point, free product.

This work was supported by Kyungshung University Research Grants in 2008.

## 2. Preliminaries

For  $X$  a wedge of a torus and a surface with boundary,  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of a torus and a bouquet of  $k$  circles and the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  is isomorphic to a free product  $G * F_k$  where  $G = \langle a, b \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1} = 1 \rangle$  and  $F_k = \langle c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \rangle$ . Since the Nielsen number is a homotopy type invariant, we assume that  $X = T \vee C$  is a wedge of a torus  $T$  and a bouquet of  $k$  circles  $C$ . We denote the intersection by  $x_0$ . Let  $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  be a self-map of  $X$ . To simplify notation, we denote the fundamental group endomorphism induced by a self-map by the same letter as the map. Since  $X$  is aspherical, fundamental group information is sufficient to classify self-maps up to homotopy.

**THEOREM 2.1** ([7, Theorem 4.1]). *Every endomorphism  $f$  of  $\pi_1(X)$  satisfies at least one of the following:*

- (H1)  $f(a) = wg_1w^{-1}$  and  $f(b) = wg_2w^{-1}$  for some  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $w \in \pi_1(X)$ .
- (H2)  $f(a) = u^s$  and  $f_\pi(b) = u^t$  for some element  $u \in \pi_1(X)$  and integers  $s$  and  $t$ .

By the commutativity of the Nielsen number, calculating the Nielsen number for a map satisfying (H2) is reduced to the calculation of the Nielsen number for the corresponding map of a surface with boundary. See [7] for details. There are some recent works for the calculation of the Nielsen number on surface with boundary (see [4], [6], [9], and [10]).

Now suppose that  $f$  is a self-map of  $X$  and that the induced endomorphism of  $f$  satisfies the condition (H1). Then, there is a map  $f' : X \rightarrow X$  which is (freely) homotopic to  $f$  such that  $f'(\cdot) = w^{-1}f(\cdot)w$ , which satisfies  $f'(G) \subseteq G$ . For such a map  $f'$ , since  $f'(G) \subseteq G$ , we may deform  $f'$  by a homotopy so that  $f'|_T$ , its restriction to  $T$ , has a minimal fixed point set and  $f'|_{C-\{x_0\}}$ , its restriction to  $C - \{x_0\}$ , has fixed points which correspond to the occurrences of  $c_i^{\pm 1}$  in  $f'(c_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . The map thus obtained we call the *standard form* of  $f$  and denote it also by  $f$ . For the rest of the paper, we assume that all maps  $f : X \rightarrow X$  will be in standard form.

It is well-known that the number of fixed points of  $f|_T$  is equal to the Reidemeister number of  $f|_T$  that is easily computed from the endomorphism  $f|_G$ . For each fixed point  $x_p$  of  $f$ , let  $\alpha_p$  be a representative element of the corresponding Reidemeister class of  $x_p$ . If  $x_p$  is in  $T$ , then we may assume that  $\alpha_p$  is in  $G$ . If  $x_p$  is in  $C - \{x_0\}$  which corresponds to a word  $c_i$  or  $c_i^{-1}$  in  $f(c_i)$ , then applying the Wagner's method in [9],

we can denote  $f(c_i)$  by  $\alpha_p c_i \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1}$ . Note that we can also calculate  $\alpha_p$  using the Fox derivative [3].

Let  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  be two fixed points of  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . Then  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f$  if and only if there exists  $z \in \pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X, x_0)$  such that

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q. \quad (*)$$

When equation  $(*)$  holds, we will say that  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are *equivalent*. In order to compute the Nielsen number of  $f$ , it is necessary to classify all equivalent fixed point classes. Each class is assigned a non-negative integer as its index and a class is called *essential* if its index is non-zero. The Nielsen number is the number of essential fixed point classes (see [2] or [5] for the details).

For the next section, we introduce some notation for the free product  $\pi_1(X) = G * F_k = G * \langle c_1 \rangle * \cdots * \langle c_k \rangle$ . The groups  $G$  and  $\langle c_i \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are called the *free factors* of  $\pi_1(X)$ . A *reduced sequence* is a sequence of elements  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  from  $\pi_1(X)$  such that  $u_i \neq 1$ ,  $u_i$  is in a free factor, and successive  $u_i, u_{i+1}$  are not in the same free factor. Each element  $u$  of  $\pi_1(X)$  can be uniquely expressed as a product  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ , where  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  is a reduced sequence, which is called the *reduced form* of  $u$ . If  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$  is reduced, then  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  are called the *syllables* of  $u$  and the *syllable length*  $\lambda(u)$  is  $n$ . If  $u \in \pi_1(X)$  is not reduced, then the reduced form of  $u$  is unique. Let  $R(u)$  be the reduced form of  $u$ . We define the syllable length  $\lambda(u)$  of an arbitrary element  $u$  in  $\pi_1(X)$  by  $\lambda(R(u))$ .

### 3. The main theorem

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map in standard form. The induced endomorphism  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  satisfies  $f(G) \subseteq G$ , and thus  $\lambda(f(g)) = 1$  for any  $g \neq 1$  in  $G$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , let  $X_i = f(c_i)$  and  $Y_i = X_i^{\pm 1}$ . We consider the initial segments of  $X_i$  that cancel in the products in the set

$$\{Y_j X_i \mid Y_j \neq X_i^{-1}, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Let  $S_i$  be the longest such initial segment of  $X_i$ . Similarly, let  $T_i$  be the longest terminal segment of  $X_i$  of those that cancel in the products in the set

$$\{X_i Y_j \mid Y_j \neq X_i^{-1}, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

If  $|X_i| > |S_i| + |T_i|$ , where  $|w|$  is the word length of a word  $w$ , then let  $R_i = S_i^{-1} X_i T_i^{-1}$ . In this case, we have  $X_i = S_i R_i T_i$  as reduced. ( $S_i$

or  $T_i$  may be 1.) If  $\lambda(R_i) \geq 2$ , then we say that  $X_i$  has *strong syllable remnant*. This idea is inspired by Wagner's algebraic condition *remnant* for free group endomorphisms [9].

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  be an endomorphism. We call  $f$  *K-reduced* if the following conditions hold:

- (K1) for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda(gY_i) \geq \lambda(Y_i)$ ;
- (K2) all  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , have strong syllable remnant.

The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

THEOREM 3.2. *If an endomorphism  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is K-reduced, then there is an algorithm for computing the Nielsen number  $N(f)$  of  $f : X \rightarrow X$ .*

The following technical lemmas will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is K-reduced. Let  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  be two fixed points of  $f$  in  $T$ . If  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f$ , then they are in the same fixed point class of  $f|_T$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that two fixed points  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  in  $T$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f$ . Then, as we mentioned in the previous section, there is a solution  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  in  $\pi_1(X) = G * F_k$  to the equation

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q. \quad (*)$$

We assume that  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  is in reduced form, and thus  $\lambda(z) = n$ . We will prove that  $n = 1$  and  $z = z_1$  is in  $G$ . Suppose first that  $z_1$  and  $z_n$  are not in  $G$ . Since  $f$  is K-reduced and  $\alpha_p, \alpha_q$  are in  $G$ , we have  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq n + 2$ , and so this is a contradiction. Now suppose that either  $z_1$  or  $z_n$  is in  $G$ . We assume that  $z_1 \in G$  and  $z_n \notin G$ . The other case is similar. If  $f(z_1) \neq \alpha_p$ , then since  $f$  is K-reduced,  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq n + 1$ , which contradicts to  $\lambda(z) = n$ . If  $f(z_1) = \alpha_p$ , then the first letter of  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q$  is the first letter of  $f(z_2)$ . Since  $z_2$  is not in  $G$ , neither is the first letter of  $f(z_2)$  by (K1). But the first letter of  $z$  is in  $G$ , and thus we have a contradiction. Therefore,  $z_1$  and  $z_n$  must be in  $G$ . If  $n \neq 1$ , then  $z_2$  and  $z_{n-1}$  are not in  $G$ . In this case, we can prove that there is no solution  $z$  to the equation (\*) using the similar argument as above. Hence, we have  $n = 1$  and  $z = z_1$  is in  $G$ . This implies that  $z$  is a solution to the equation

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f|_G(z) \alpha_q.$$

This means that  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f|_T$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose that  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is  $K$ -reduced. Let  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  be two fixed points of  $f$  such that they are not in the fixed point class of  $x_0$ . If  $x_p$  is in  $T$  and  $x_q$  is in  $C$ , then they are not in the same fixed point class of  $f$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that two fixed points  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f$ . Then there is a solution  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  to the equation

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q \quad (*)$$

where  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  is in reduced form,  $\alpha_p$  is in  $G$ , and  $X_i = \alpha_q c_i \bar{\alpha}_q^{-1}$  for some  $i$ . If  $z \in G$ , then  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \in G$ . Since  $\alpha_q \neq 1$ , by (K1), we have  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q \notin G$ . Thus we have  $z \notin G$ . Then, since  $f$  is  $K$ -reduced, all  $R$ 's in  $f(z)$  never cancel in the right hand side of  $(*)$  except the last one. If  $z_n = c_i^{-\ell}$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , then the last  $R_i^{-1}$  in  $f(z_n)$  may cancel with  $\alpha_q$  in the right hand side of  $(*)$ .

Case 1 Suppose that all  $R$ 's in  $f(z)$  do not cancel in the right hand side of  $(*)$ .

If  $z_1 \in G$  and  $f(z_1) = \alpha_p$ , then as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, the first letters of  $z$  and  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q$  are not the same. Otherwise, since  $z \notin G$  and no successive  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  are in the same free factor, by (K1) and (K2), we have  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq n + 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that there is no solution to the equation  $(*)$  in this case.

Case 2 Suppose that  $z_n = c_i^{-\ell}$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$  and there is a cancellation between the last  $R_i^{-1}$  in  $f(z_n)$  and  $\alpha_q$  in the right hand side of  $(*)$ .

Let  $z' = z c_i$ , then  $z = z' c_i^{-1}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} z' c_i^{-1} &= \alpha_p^{-1} f(z' c_i^{-1}) \alpha_q \\ &= \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') X_i^{-1} \alpha_q \\ &= \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') (\bar{\alpha}_q c_i^{-1} \alpha_q^{-1}) \alpha_q \\ &= \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') \bar{\alpha}_q c_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $z' = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') \bar{\alpha}_q$  and since  $f$  is  $K$ -reduced, all  $R$ 's in  $f(z')$  do not cancel in  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z') \bar{\alpha}_q$ . Since  $x_q$  and  $x_0$  are not in the same fixed point class, we have  $\bar{\alpha}_q \neq 1$  and so we can apply the argument used in Case 1 for the equation  $z' = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') \bar{\alpha}_q$  so that there is no solution  $z'$  to the equation  $z' = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z') \bar{\alpha}_q$ . Consequently, there is no solution to the equation  $(*)$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose that  $f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  is  $K$ -reduced. Let  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  be two fixed points of  $f$  in  $C$ . Then  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class of  $f$  if and only if one of the following holds:

$$\alpha_p = \alpha_q, \alpha_p = \bar{\alpha}_q, \bar{\alpha}_p = \alpha_q \text{ or } \bar{\alpha}_p = \bar{\alpha}_q.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_i = \alpha_p c_i \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1}$  and  $X_j = \alpha_q c_j \bar{\alpha}_q^{-1}$ . If  $\alpha_p = \alpha_q$ ,  $\alpha_p = \bar{\alpha}_q$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \alpha_q$  or  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \bar{\alpha}_q$  hold, then  $1, c_j^{-1}, c_i$ , or  $c_i c_j^{-1}$  are solutions to the equation

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q \quad (*)$$

respectively. Thus  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class. Conversely, we assume that  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  are in the same fixed point class, and thus there is a solution  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  to the equation

$$z = \alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q \quad (*)$$

where  $z = z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n$  is in reduced form. If  $z = 1$ , then  $\alpha_p = \alpha_q$ . We now assume that  $z \neq 1$ .

Case 1 Suppose that neither  $z_1 = c_i^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_p^{-1}$  cancels with  $R_i$  in  $\alpha_p^{-1} X_i$  nor  $z_n = c_j^{-m}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_q$  cancels with  $R_j^{-1}$  in  $X_j^{-1} \alpha_q$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\alpha_q \neq 1$  and thus by (K1), we have  $\alpha_q \notin G$ . Note that we also have  $\alpha_p \notin G$  except the case that  $\alpha_p = 1$ . Now suppose that  $n = 1$ . If  $z = z_1 \in G$ , then  $\lambda(z) = 1$  and  $f(z) \in G$ , but since  $\alpha_q \notin G$ , by (K1), we have  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq 2$ , which is a contradiction. If  $z \in F_k$ , then by (K2), we have  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq 2$ , which is contrary to  $\lambda(z) = 1$ . Thus we conclude that  $n \geq 2$ . Since no successive  $z_i, z_{i+1}$  are in the same free factor, by (K1) and (K2), we have  $\lambda(\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q) \geq n+1$ , but since  $\lambda(z) = n$ , we have a contradiction. Consequently, there is no solution to the equation (\*) in this case.

Case 2 Suppose that either  $z_1 = c_i^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_p^{-1}$  cancels with  $R_i$  in  $\alpha_p^{-1} X_i$  or  $z_n = c_j^{-m}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_q$  cancels with  $R_j^{-1}$  in  $X_j^{-1} \alpha_q$ .

We will show that  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \alpha_q$  or  $\alpha_p = \bar{\alpha}_q$  in this case. We will only show that  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \alpha_q$  under the condition that  $z_1 = c_i^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_p^{-1}$  cancels with  $R_i$  in  $\alpha_p^{-1} X_i$ . The other case is similar. Suppose that  $z_1 = c_i^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_p^{-1}$  cancels with  $R_i$  in  $\alpha_p^{-1} X_i$  and let  $z' = c_i^{-1} z$ . Then  $z = c_i z'$  and

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_i z' &= \alpha_p^{-1} f(c_i z') \alpha_q \\
 &= \alpha_p^{-1} X_i f(z') \alpha_q \\
 &= \alpha_p^{-1} (\alpha_p c_i \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1}) f(z') \alpha_q \\
 &= c_i \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1} f(z') \alpha_q.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $z' = \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1} f(z') \alpha_q$ . If  $z' = 1$ , then  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \alpha_q$ . Otherwise, the argument used in Case 1 does apply for the equation  $z' = \bar{\alpha}_p^{-1} f(z') \alpha_q$  and so the equation does not have any solution.

Case 3  $z_1 = c_i^\ell$  and  $z_n = c_i^{-m}$  for some  $\ell, m \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha_p^{-1}$  and  $\alpha_q$  cancel with  $R_i$  and  $R_j^{-1}$  in  $\alpha_p^{-1} f(z) \alpha_q$  respectively.

Using the similar argument as in Case 2 in this proof, we can prove that  $z = c_i c_j^{-1}$  is the only solution to the equation (\*) in which we have  $\bar{\alpha}_p = \bar{\alpha}_q$ .  $\square$

We now present the proof of Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, each fixed point class of  $f$  is contained in either  $T - \{x_0\}$  or  $C$ . By Lemma 3.3, the number of essential fixed point classes that are contained in  $T - \{x_0\}$  is equal to  $N(f|_T) - 1$  where the Nielsen number  $N(f|_T)$  is easily computed from the induced endomorphism  $f|_G$  (see [1] or [5]). In order to classify all fixed point classes in  $C$ , by Lemma 3.5, we only need to compare all  $\alpha$ 's and  $\bar{\alpha}$ 's including  $\alpha_0 = \bar{\alpha}_0 = 1$ , which correspond to the fixed point  $x_0$ . After determining all essential fixed point class in  $C$ , we can compute the Nielsen number  $N(f)$ :

$$N(f) = N(f|_T) - 1 + c$$

where  $c$  is the number of essential fixed point classes that are contained in  $C$ .  $\square$

Next example provides a more precise result concerning Example 4.2 in [7].

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let  $X = T \vee C$  with

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) = \langle a, b, c_1, c_2 \mid aba^{-1}b^{-1} = 1 \rangle.$$

The endomorphism induced by  $f$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) &= c_1^{-1}a^2bc_2a^2b^3c_2^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-2}c_1, \\ f(b) &= c_1^{-1}a^2bc_2a^{-1}bc_2^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-2}c_1, \\ f(c_1) &= ac_1^7a^{-1}c_1b, \\ f(c_2) &= c_1c_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

The standard form  $f'$  of  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a) &= a^2b^3, \\ f'(b) &= a^{-1}b, \\ f'(c_1) &= c_2^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-2}c_1ac_1^7a^{-1}c_1bc_1^{-1}a^2bc_2, \\ f'(c_2) &= c_2^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-2}c_1^3c_2^{-1}a^2bc_2. \end{aligned}$$

Khamsemanan and Kim [7] estimated that  $N(f) = N(f') \geq 7$ . Let us now compute the Nielsen number  $N(f')$  exactly. The Nielsen number  $N(f'|_T)$  is

$$N(f'|_T) = |\det(I - F)| = 3$$

where  $I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is the identity matrix and  $F = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  represents the endomorphism of  $H_1(T)$  induced by  $f$ . Now, we note that the fixed points of  $f'$  in  $C$  are as follows:

fixed point	$\alpha_p$	$\bar{\alpha}_p$	index
$x_0$	1	1	-1
$x_1$	$c_2^{-1}b^{-1}a^{-2}$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-7}a^{-1}$	1
$x_2$	$w^{-1}a$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-6}$	1
$x_3$	$w^{-1}ac_1$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-5}$	1
$x_4$	$w^{-1}ac_1^2$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-4}$	1
$x_5$	$w^{-1}ac_1^3$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-3}$	1
$x_6$	$w^{-1}ac_1^4$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-2}$	1
$x_7$	$w^{-1}ac_1^5$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}ac_1^{-1}$	1
$x_8$	$w^{-1}ac_1^6$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}c_1^{-1}a$	1
$x_9$	$w^{-1}ac_1^7a^{-1}$	$w^{-1}b^{-1}$	1
$x_{10}$	$w^{-1}ac_1^7a^{-1}c_1bc_1^{-1}$	$w^{-1}$	-1
$x_{11}$	$c_2^{-1}$	$w^{-1}c_2^{-3}c_1^{-1}w$	-1
$x_{12}$	$w^{-1}c_1$	$w^{-1}c_2^{-2}$	1
$x_{13}$	$w^{-1}c_1c_2$	$w^{-1}c_2^{-1}$	1
$x_{14}$	$w^{-1}c_1c_2^2$	$w^{-1}$	1
$x_{15}$	$w^{-1}c_1c_2^3c_1^{-1}a^2b$	1	1

where  $w = c_1^{-1}a^2bc_2$ .

Since  $\alpha_0 = \bar{\alpha}_{15}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_{10} = \bar{\alpha}_{14}$ , this implies that  $x_0$  and  $x_{15}$  are in the same fixed point class and so are  $x_{10}$  and  $x_{14}$ . Since both classes are



with index zero, we have  $c = 12$ . Consequently, we have

$$N(f) = N(f') = N(f'|_T) - 1 + c = 3 - 1 + 12 = 14.$$

### References

- [1] R. Brooks, R. Brown, J. Pak, and D. Taylor, *The Nielsen number of maps of tori*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 398–400.
- [2] R. Brown, *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*, Scott-Foresman, Glenview, IL, 1971.
- [3] E. Fadell and S. Husseini, *The Nielsen number on surfaces*, Contemp. Math. **21** (1983), 59–98.
- [4] E. Hart, *Reidemeister conjugacy for finitely generated free fundamental groups*, Fund. Math. **199** (2008), 93–118.
- [5] B. Jiang, *Lectures on Nielsen fixed point theory*, Contemp. Math., vol.14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [6] S. Kim, *Computation of Nielsen numbers for maps of compact surfaces with boundary*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **208** (2007), 467–479.
- [7] N. Khamsemanan and S. Kim, *Estimating Nielsen numbers on wedge product spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2007, Art. ID 83420, 16 pp.
- [8] C. McCord, *Computing Nielsen numbers*, Nielsen Theory and Dynamical Systems, Contemporary Mathematics, vol.152, 1993, 249–267.
- [9] J. Wagner, *An algorithm for calculating the Nielsen number on surfaces with boundary*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 41–62.
- [10] P. Yi, *An algorithm for computing the Nielsen number of maps on the pants surface*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 2003.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
 Kyungshung University  
 Busan 608-736, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* kimsw@ks.ac.kr