

ASYMPTOTIC EQUIVALENCE OF VOLTERRA DIFFERENCE SYSTEMS

SUNG KYU CHOI *, JIN SOON KIM **, AND NAMJIP KOO ***

ABSTRACT. We obtain a discrete analogue of Nohel's result in [5] about asymptotic equivalence between perturbed Volterra system and unperturbed system.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and \mathbb{R}^d be the d -dimensional real Euclidean space with norm

$$|x| = \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For a $d \times d$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ on $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$, the norm of A is given by

$$|A| = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d |a_{ij}|.$$

Let BC be the space of all bounded sequences equipped with the norm

$$|\phi| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |\phi(n)|, \quad \phi \in BC.$$

We consider the perturbed system of Volterra difference equations

$$(1.1) \quad x(n) = f(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)[x(s) + g(s, x(s))], \quad n \geq 0$$

Received August 6, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39A12, 45E99.

Key words and phrases: Volterra difference system, resolvent matrix, asymptotic equivalence.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant founded by the Korea Government(MOEHRD)(KRF-2005-070-C00015).

and unperturbed system

$$(1.2) \quad y(n) = f(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)y(s).$$

Two systems (1.1) and (1.2) are *asymptotically equivalent* if for every bounded solution $x(n)$ of (1.1), there exists a bounded solution $y(n)$ of (1.2) such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x(n) - y(n)] = 0$$

and conversely, for each bounded solution $v(n)$ of (1.2) there exists a bounded solution $u(n)$ of (1.1) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [u(n) - v(n)] = 0.$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the asymptotic equivalence between (1.1) and (1.2) as a discrete analogue of Nohel's result in [5].

For the asymptotic equivalence between perturbed Volterra difference system

$$x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)x(s) + f(n), \quad n \geq 0$$

and linear Volterra difference system

$$y(n+1) = A(n)y(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)y(s), \quad n \geq 0,$$

see [1] and [2].

2. Main Results

Consider the perturbed system of Volterra difference equations

$$(2.1) \quad x(n) = f(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)[x(s) + g(s, x(s))], \quad n \geq 0$$

and unperturbed system

$$(2.2) \quad y(n) = f(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n, s)y(s),$$

where $x, y, f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, B is a $d \times d$ matrix on $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $g(n, x)$ is defined for $n \geq 0$, $|x| < \infty$ and is continuous in x , $g(n, 0) = 0$ with

$$(2.3) \quad g(n, x) = o(|x|) \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that the solution $y(n)$ can be represented by

$$(2.4) \quad y(n) = f(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)f(k),$$

where the resolvent matrix $R(n, m)$ satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad R(n, m) = \sum_{j=m}^n B(n, j)B(j, m) - B(n, m), \quad 0 \leq m \leq n.$$

See[4].

Firstly, we show that the following representation is a solution of (2.1).

THEOREM 2.1. *The solution $x(n)$ of (2.1) is given by the form*

$$(2.6) \quad x(n) = f(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)[f(k) + g(k, x(k))].$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n B(n, k)g(k, x(k)) + f(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)f(k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n B(n, k)g(k, x(k)) + f(n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)\left[\sum_{l=0}^k B(k, l)g(l, x(l)) + f(k)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) - f(n) &+ \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)f(k) - \sum_{l=0}^n B(n, l)g(l, x(l)) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k) \sum_{l=0}^k B(k, l)g(l, x(l)) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^k R(n, k)B(k, l)g(l, x(l)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &= f(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)f(k) - \sum_{k=0}^n [R(n, k)B(k, l) - B(n, l)]g(l, x(l)) \\ &= f(n) - \sum_{k=0}^n R(n, k)[f(n) + g(k, x(k))]. \end{aligned}$$

by(2.5). □

We need the following fixed point theorem.

Schauder - Tychonoff Theorem [3] Let $C(J)$ denote the set of all functions which are continuous on the interval J , and let F be the subset formed by those functions $x(t)$ such that

$$|x(t)| \leq \mu(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in J,$$

where $\mu(t)$ is a fixed positive continuous function.

Let T be a mapping of F into itself with the properties

(i) T is continuous, in the sense that if $x_n \in F$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $x_n \rightarrow x$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of J , then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of J ,

(ii) The image set $T(F)$ is equicontinuous and bounded at every point of J .

Then T has at least one fixed point in F .

THEOREM 2.2. Let $y(n)$ be a bounded solution of (2.2). Suppose that

- (i) $\sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)| \leq c$, for some $c > 0$,
(ii) for any $n_0 > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right] = 0, n > n_0 > 0,$$

- (iii) for any λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $|y| \leq \lambda\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$.

Then there exists at least one solution $x(n)$ of (2.1) such that $x \in BC$ and $|x| \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. In view of the assumption (2.3), there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|x| \leq \epsilon_0$ implies $|g(n, x)| \leq \beta|x|$ uniformly in n for some $\beta > 0$ with $\beta c < 1 - \lambda$. Let $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$. Consider the set

$$S_\epsilon = \{\phi \in BC : |\phi| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Define the operator $T : S_\epsilon \rightarrow BC$ by the relation

$$T\phi(n) = y(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n R(n, s)g(s, \phi(s)), \quad n \geq 0.$$

We claim that $T(S_\epsilon) \subset S_\epsilon$. Using (i) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n)| &\leq |y(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)||g(s, \phi(s))| \\ &\leq |y| + c\beta \sup_{n \geq 0} |\phi(n)| \\ &\leq |y| + c\beta\epsilon \\ &\leq \lambda\epsilon + (1 - \lambda)\epsilon = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of continuity of T , we let $\phi_m \in S_\epsilon$ and suppose $\phi_m \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{Z}_+ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n) - T\phi_m(n)| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)||g(s, \phi(s)) - g(s, \phi_m(s))| \\ &\leq c \sup_{0 \leq s \leq n} |g(s, \phi(s)) - g(s, \phi_m(s))| \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on compact subset of \mathbb{Z}_+ since $g(n, x)$ is continuous in x .

Now we show that $T(S_\epsilon)$ is equicontinuous. To do this we let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n > n_0$ (the same argument applies to $n < n_0$). Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We show that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|n - n_0| \leq \delta$ implies $|T\phi(n) - T\phi(n_0)| < \epsilon$. From the assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n) - T\phi(n_0)| &\leq |y(n) - y(n_0)| + \left| \sum_{s=0}^n R(n, s) - \sum_{s=0}^{n_0} R(n_0, s) \right| |g(s, \phi(s))| \\ &= |y(n) - y(n_0)| + \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)||g(s, \phi(s))| \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq & |y(n) - y(n_0)| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq n} |g(s, \phi(s))| \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be given. Choose a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$|y(n) - y(n_0)| \leq \eta/2 \quad \text{when } |n - n_0| \leq \delta_1.$$

By (ii), choose a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \leq \frac{\eta}{2(1 + \beta\epsilon_0)}$$

when $|n - n_0| \leq \delta_2$. Putting $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n) - T\phi(n_0)| & \leq \frac{\eta}{2} + \beta\epsilon_0 \frac{\eta}{2(1 + \beta\epsilon_0)} \\ & \leq \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the pointwise equicontinuity of the functions in $T(S_\epsilon)$. Therefore, by the Schauder - Tychonoff Theorem, there exists a function $x \in S_\epsilon$ such that $Tx = x$ or

$$x(n) = y(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n R(n, s)g(s, x(s)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 we can obtain one asymptotic stability theorem as a corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $y(n)$ be a solution of (2.2). Suppose that*

- (i) $\sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)| \leq c$ for some $c > 0$,
- (ii) for any $n_0 > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right] = 0, n > n_0 > 0,$$

- (iii) for any λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$,
 $|y| \leq \lambda\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ with $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$.
- (iv) for any $N > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| = 0$.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y(n) = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = 0$

Proof. Let $S_0 = \{\phi \in S_\epsilon : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(n) = 0\}$. Then S_0 is a closed subset of S_ϵ under the uniform norm. Thus it suffices to show that $R(S_0) \subset S_0$. Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) \neq 0$. Then

$$\mu = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x(n)| > 0.$$

For a fixed number γ , let $1 - \lambda < \gamma < 1$. Choose $N > 0$ so large that $|x(n)| \leq \mu/\gamma$ when $n \geq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x(n)| &\leq |y(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)| |g(s, x(s))| \\ &\leq |y(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| |g(s, x(s))| + \sum_{s=N}^n |R(n, s)| |g(s, x(s))| \\ &\leq |y(n)| + \beta\epsilon \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| + \beta \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \sum_{s=N}^n |R(n, s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit sup, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\leq 0 + 0 + \beta \frac{\mu}{\gamma} c \\ &< \frac{\mu}{\gamma} (1 - \lambda) \\ &< \mu, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = 0$ □

THEOREM 2.4. *Assume that*

- (i) $\sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)| \leq c$ for some $c > 0$,
- (ii) for any $n_0 > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right] = 0, n > n_0 > 0,$$

- (iii) for any $N > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| = 0$,
- (iv) $|g(n, x)| \leq \lambda(n)|x|$.

where $\lambda(n) > 0$, is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_+ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(n) = 0$ and $|\lambda|c \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then (2.1) and (2.2) are asymptotically equivalent.

Proof. Let $y(n) \in BC$ be a solution of (2.2) with $|y| \leq k$ for some $k > 0$. Consider the set

$$S_k = \{\phi \in BS : |\phi| \leq 2k\}.$$

Define the operator $T : S_k \rightarrow BC$ by

$$T\phi(n) = y(n) - \sum_{s=0}^n R(n, s)g(s, \phi(s)).$$

Then T is continuous as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Also, $T(S_k) \subset Sk$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n)| &\leq |y(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)||g(s, \phi(s))| \\ &\leq |y| + \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)|\lambda(s)|\phi(s)| \\ &\leq k + C|\lambda|2k \\ &= 2k. \end{aligned}$$

To show that $T(S_k)$ is equicontinuous, let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $n > n_0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n) - T\phi(n_0)| &\leq |y(n) - y(n_0)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq n} |g(s, \phi(s))| \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right] \\ &\leq |y(n) - y(n_0)| + 2|\lambda|k \left[\sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$|y(n) - y(n_0)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text{ when } |n - n_0| \leq \delta_1.$$

Also, there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{n_0} |R(n, s) - R(n_0, s)| + \sum_{s=n_0+1}^n |R(n, s)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4k|\lambda|},$$

when $|n - n_0| \leq \delta_2$.

Hence, by putting $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi(n) - T\phi(n_0)| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2|\lambda|k \frac{\epsilon}{4|\lambda|k} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever $|n - n_0| \leq \delta$. Therefore there exists solution $x(n) \in BS$ of (2.1) by the Schauder - Tychonoff Theorem.

We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x(n) - y(n)] = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. From (iv), there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad |\lambda(n)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4kc}, \quad n \geq N.$$

We can choose $N_1 \geq N$ such that

$$\sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4|\lambda|k}, \quad n \geq N_1$$

from (iii). Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(n) - y(n)| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)|\lambda(s)|x(s)| + \sum_{s=N+1}^n |R(n, s)|\lambda(s)|x(s)| \\ &\leq 2k|\lambda| \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)| + 2k \sup_{N \leq n < \infty} \lambda(n) \sum_{s=0}^n |R(n, s)| \\ &\leq 2k|\lambda| \frac{\epsilon}{4k|\lambda|} + 2kc \frac{\epsilon}{4kc} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \quad n \geq N_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary this shows the assertion.

For the converse, let $x \in BC$ be a solution of (2.1). Define

$$y(n) = x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n R(n, s)g(s, x(s)).$$

Then it is easy to show that $y(n)$ is a solution of (2.2) and $y \in BC$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |y(n)| &\leq |x(n)| + c|\lambda||x| \\ &< \infty, \quad 0 \leq n < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [y(n) - x(n)] = 0$, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $|x| \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |y(n) - x(n)| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^N |R(n, s)|\lambda(s)|x(s)| + \sum_{s=N}^n |R(n, s)|\lambda(s)|x(s)| \\ &\leq |\lambda|k \frac{\epsilon}{4k|\lambda|} + \frac{\epsilon}{4kc}kc \end{aligned}$$

by (2.7) and (2.8). Hence $|y(n) - x(n)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we show that the asymptotic relationship holds, and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] S. K. Choi and N. J. Koo, *Asymptotic equivalence between two linear Volterra difference systems*, Computers Math. Applic. 47 (2004), 461-471.
- [2] S. K. Choi and N. J. Koo, *Asymptotic property of linear Volterra difference systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **321** (2006), 260-272.
- [3] W. A. Coppel, *Stability and Asymptotic Behavior of Differential Equations*, D. C. Heath & Co., Boston, 1965.
- [4] V. B. Kolmanovskii, *On asymptotic equivalence of the solutions of some Volterra difference equations*, Auto. Remote Control. **62** (2001), 548-556
- [5] J. A. Nohel, *Asymptotic relationship between systems of Volterra equations*, Annali de Matematica Pura ed Applicata **90** (1971), 149-165.

*

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: skchoi@math.cnu.ac.kr

**

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea

Department of Mathematics
Chungnam National University
Daejeon 305-764, Republic of Korea
E-mail: njkoo@math.cnu.ac.kr