

PROPERTIES OF NOETHERIAN QUOTIENTS IN R -GROUPS

YONG UK CHO*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will introduce the noetherian quotients in R -groups, and then investigate the related substructures of the near-ring R and G and the R -group G .

Also, applying the annihilator concept in R -groups and d.g. near-rings, we will survey some properties of the substructures of R and G in monogenic R -groups and faithful R -groups.

1. Introduction

A near-ring R is an algebraic system $(R, +, \cdot)$ with two binary operations, say $+$ and \cdot such that $(R, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian) with neutral element 0 , (R, \cdot) is a semigroup and $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ for all a, b, c in R . If R has a unity 1 , then R is called *unitary*. An element d in R is called *distributive* if $(a + b)d = ad + bd$ for all a and b in R .

An *ideal* of R is a subset I of R such that (i) $(I, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$, (ii) $a(I + b) - ab \subset I$ for all $a, b \in R$, that is, $RI \subset I$, (iii) $(I + a)b - ab \subset I$ for all $a, b \in R$. If I satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a *left ideal* of R . If I satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a *right ideal* of R .

On the other hand, an *invariant R -subgroup* of R is a subset H of R such that (i) $(H, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$, (ii) $RH \subset H$ and (iii) $HR \subset H$. If H satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a *left R -subgroup* of R . If H satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a *(right) R -subgroup* of R . In case, $(H, +)$ is normal in above, we say that *normal invariant R -subgroup*, *normal left R -subgroup* and *normal (right) R -subgroup*, respectively. Note that normal invariant R -subgroups of R are not equivalent to ideals of R .

Received June 1, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 16Y30.

Key words and phrases: annihilator, d.g. near-ring, monogenic R -group and faithful R -group.

We consider the following notations: Given a near-ring R , $R_0 = \{a \in R \mid 0a = 0\}$ which is called the *zero symmetric part* of R , $R_c = \{a \in R \mid 0a = a\} = \{a \in R \mid ra = a, \text{ for all } r \in R\}$ which is called the *constant part* of R , and $R_d = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is distributive}\}$ which is called the *distributive part* of R .

We note that R_0 and R_c are subnear-rings of R , but R_d is not a subnear-ring of R . A near-ring R with the extra axiom $0a = 0$ for all $a \in R$, that is, $R = R_0$ is said to be *zero symmetric*, also, in case $R = R_c$, R is called a *constant* near-ring, and in case $R = R_d$, R is called a *distributive* near-ring.

From the Pierce decomposition theorem, we get

$$R = R_0 \oplus R_c$$

as additive groups. So every element $a \in R$ has a unique representation of the form $a = b + c$, where $b \in R_0$ and $c \in R_c$.

Let $(G, +)$ be a group (not necessarily abelian). In the set

$$M(G) = \{f \mid f : G \longrightarrow G\}$$

of all the self maps of G , We can define naturally, the sum $f + g$ of any two mappings f, g in $M(G)$ as the rule $x(f + g) = xf + xg$ for all $x \in G$ and the product $f \cdot g$ as the rule $x(f \cdot g) = (xf)g$ for all $x \in G$, then $(M(G), +, \cdot)$ becomes a near-ring. It is called the *self map near-ring* on the group G . Also, we define the set

$$M_0(G) = \{f \in M(G) \mid 0f = 0\},$$

then $(M_0(G), +, \cdot)$ is a zero symmetric near-ring.

Let R and S be two near-rings. Then a mapping θ from R to S is called a *near-ring homomorphism* if (i) $(a + b)\theta = a\theta + b\theta$, (ii) $(ab)\theta = a\theta b\theta$. We can replace homomorphism by momomorphism, epimorphism, isomorphism, endomorphism and automorphism, if these terms have their usual meanings as ring case [1].

Let R be any near-ring and G an additive group. Then G is called an R -group if there exists a near-ring homomorphism

$$\theta : (R, +, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M(G), +, \cdot).$$

Such a homomorphism θ is called a *representation* of R on G , we write that xr (right scalar multiplication in R) for $x(r\theta)$ for all $x \in G$ and $r \in R$. If R is unitary, then R -group G is called *unitary*. Thus an R -group is an additive group G satisfying (i) $x(a+b) = xa + xb$, (ii) $x(ab) = (xa)b$ and (iii) $x1 = x$ (If R has a unity 1), for all $x \in G$ and $a, b \in R$. Evidently, every near-ring R can be given the structure of an R -group (unitary if R is unitary) by right multiplication in R . Moreover, every group G has a natural $M(G)$ -group structure, from the representation of $M(G)$ on G given by applying the $f \in M(G)$ to the $x \in G$ as a scalar multiplication xf .

A representation θ of R on G is called *faithful* if $\text{Ker}\theta = \{0\}$. In this case, we say that G is called a *faithful R -group*.

For an R -group G , a subgroup T of G such that $TR \subset T$ is called an R -subgroup of G , a normal subgroup N of G such that $NR \subset N$ is called a *normal R -subgroup* of G and an R -ideal of G is a normal subgroup N of G such that $(N+x)a - xa \subset N$ for all $x \in G$, $a \in R$. Also, note that normal R -subgroups of G are not equivalent to an R -ideals of R .

Let R be a near-ring and let G be an R -group. If there exists x in G such that $G = xR$, that is, $G = \{xr \mid r \in R\}$, then G is called a *monogenic R -group* and the element x is called a *generator* of G [7].

For the remainder concepts and notations on near-rings, we refer to Meldrum [6], and Pilz [7].

2. Some results of Noetherian quotients in R -Groups

A near-ring R is called *distributively generated* (briefly, *d.g.*) if it contains a subsemigroup S of (R_d, \cdot) which generates the additive group $(R, +)$, we denote it by (R, S) .

On the other hand, the set of all distributive elements of $M(G)$ are obviously the semigroup $End(G)$ of all endomorphisms of the group G under composition. Here we denote that $E(G)$ is the d.g. near-ring generated by $End(G)$, that is, $E(G)$ is d.g. subnear-ring of $(M_0(G), +, \cdot)$ generated by $End(G)$. It is said to be that $E(G)$ is the *endomorphism near-ring* of the group G .

LEMMA 2.1 [5]. *Let (R, S) be a d.g. near-ring. Then all R -subgroups and all R -homomorphic images of a (R, S) -group are also (R, S) -groups.*

Now, we will consider the noetherian quotients which quote frequently, and investigate their related substructures of R and G .

Let G be an R -group and K , K_1 and K_2 be subsets of G . Define

$$(K_1 : K_2) := \{a \in R; K_2 a \subset K_1\}.$$

We abbreviate that for $x \in G$

$$(\{x\} : K_2) =: (x : K_2).$$

Similarly for $(K_1 : x)$. $(0 : K)$ is called the *annihilator* of K , denoted it by $Ann(K)$. We say that G is a *faithful R -group* or that R *acts faithfully* on G if $Ann(G) = \{0\}$, that is, $(0 : G) = \{0\}$.

Also, we see that from the previous concepts to elementwise, a subgroup H of G such that $xa \in H$ for all $x \in H, a \in R$, is an *R -subgroup* of G , and an *R -ideal* of G is a normal subgroup N of G such that

$$(x + g)a - ga \in N$$

for all $g \in G, x \in N$ and $a \in R$ (Meldrum [6]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let G be an R -group and K_1 and K_2 subsets of G . Then we have the following conditions:*

- (1) *If K_1 is a normal R -subgroup of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal right R -subgroup of R .*

- (2) If K_1 is an R -subgroup of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a right R -subgroup of R .
- (3) If K_1 is an R -ideal of G and K_2 is an R -subgroup of G , then $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Proof. (1) and (2) are proved by Meldrum [6]. Now, we prove only (3) : Using the condition (1), $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a normal subgroup of R . Let $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$K_2(ra) = (K_2r)a \subset K_2a \subset K_1,$$

so that $ra \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Whence $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a left ideal of R .

Next, let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and $a \in (K_1 : K_2)$. Then

$$k\{(a + r_1)r_2 - r_1r_2\} = (ka + kr_1)r_2 - kr_1r_2 \in K_1$$

for all $k \in K_2$, since $K_2a \subset K_1$ and K_1 is an ideal of G . Thus $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a right ideal of R . Therefore $(K_1 : K_2)$ is a two-sided ideal of R . \square

COROLLARY 2.3 [6]. Let R be a near-ring and G an R -group.

- (1) For any $x \in G$, $(0 : x)$ is a right ideal of R .
- (2) For any R -subgroup K of G , $(0 : K)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .
- (3) For any subset K of G , $(0 : K) = \bigcap_{x \in K} (0 : x)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let R be a near-ring and G an R -group. Then we have the following conditions:

- (1) $\text{Ann}(G)$ is an ideal of R . Moreover G is a faithful $R/\text{Ann}(G)$ -group.
- (2) For any $x \in G$, we get $xR \cong R/(0 : x)$ as R -groups.

Proof. (1) By Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 2.2, $\text{Ann}(G)$ is a two-sided ideal of R . We now make G an $R/\text{Ann}(G)$ -group by defining, for $r \in R, r + \text{Ann}(G) \in R/\text{Ann}(G)$, the action $x(r + \text{Ann}(G)) = xr$. If $r + \text{Ann}(G) = r' + \text{Ann}(G)$, then $-r' + r \in \text{Ann}(G)$ hence $x(-r' + r) = 0$ for all x in G , that is to say, $xr = xr'$. This tells us that

$$x(r + \text{Ann}(G)) = xr = xr' = x(r' + \text{Ann}(G));$$

thus the action of $R/Ann(G)$ on G has been shown to be well defined. The verification of the structure of an $R/Ann(G)$ -group is routine. Finally, to see that G is a faithful $R/Ann(G)$ -group, we note that if $x(r + Ann(G)) = 0$ for all $x \in G$, then by the definition of $R/Ann(G)$ -group structure, we have $xr = 0$. Hence $r \in A(G)$. This says that only the zero element of $R/Ann(G)$ annihilates all of G . Thus G is a faithful $R/Ann(G)$ -group.

(2) For any $x \in G$, clearly xR is an R -subgroup of G . The map $\phi : R \longrightarrow xR$ defined by $\phi(r) = xr$ is an R -epimorphism, so that from the isomorphism theorem, since the kernel of ϕ is $(0 : x)$, we deduce that

$$xR \cong R/(0 : x)$$

as R -groups. □

COROLLARY 2.5 [7]. *Let G be a monogenic R -group with x as a generator. Then we have the following isomorphic relation.*

$$G \cong R/Ann(x).$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If R is a near-ring and G an R -group, then $R/Ann(G)$ is isomorphic to a subnear-ring of $M(G)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$. We define $\tau_a : G \longrightarrow G$ by $x\tau_a = xa$ for each $x \in G$. Then τ_a is in $M(G)$. Consider the mapping $\phi : R \longrightarrow M(G)$ defined by $\phi(a) = \tau_a$. Then obviously, we see that

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b) \text{ and } \phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b),$$

that is, ϕ is a near-ring homomorphism from R to $M(G)$.

Next, we must show that $Ker\phi = A(G)$. Indeed, if $a \in Ker\phi$, then $\tau_a = 0$, which implies that $Ga = G\tau_a = 0$, that is, $a \in A(G)$. On the other hand, if $a \in Ann(G)$, then by the definition of $Ann(G)$, $Ga = 0$ hence $0 = \tau_a = \phi(a)$, this implies that $a \in Ker\phi$. Therefore from the first isomorphism theorem on R -groups, the image of R is a near-ring isomorphic to $R/A(G)$. Consequently, $R/A(G)$ is isomorphic to a subnear-ring of $M(G)$. □

Thus we can obtain the following important statement as in ring theory.

COROLLARY 2.7 [7]. *If G is a faithful R -group, then R is embedded in $M(G)$.*

COROLLARY 2.8. *If (R, S) is a d.g. near-ring, then every monogenic R -group is an (R, S) -group.*

Proof. Let G be a monogenic R -group with x as a generator. Then the map $\phi : r \mapsto xr$ is an R -epimorphism from R to G as R -groups. We see that by the Corollary 2.5,

$$G \cong R/A(x),$$

where $\text{Ann}(x) = \text{Ker}\phi$. From the Lemma 2.1, we see that G is an (R, S) -group. \square

Now, we get the following useful results of monogenic R -groups.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let G be a monogenic R -group with generator x . Then we have the following properties:*

- (1) *For any right ideal I of R , xI is an R -ideal of G .*
- (2) *If I is a left R -subgroup of R and xI is an R -ideal of G , then I is an ideal of R .*
- (3) *If e is a right identity of R and if G is a faithful R -group, then e is a two-sided identity of R .*

Proof. (1) Let $a \in G$. Then there exists $t \in R$ such that $a = xt$. Thus for each $xy \in xI, r \in R$, and $a \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + xy)r - ar &= (xt + xy)r - (xt)r = x(t + y)r - x(tr) \\ &= x\{(t + y)r - tr\} \in xI \end{aligned}$$

By using similar method, it can be easily shown that xI is an additive normal subgroup of G . Therefore xI is an R -ideal of G .

(2) For any $y \in I$ and $a, b \in R$, we obtain the following equality:

$$x\{(y+a)b-ab\} = x(y+a)b - x(ab) = (xy+xa)b - (xa)b \in xI$$

Hence $(y+a)b - ab \in xI$. Similarly, we can show that I is an additive normal subgroup of R . Consequently, I is an ideal of R .

(3) First, let e be a right identity of R and $g = xt$ be any element in G . Then we have the relation that

$$ge = (xt)e = x(te) = xt = g$$

Next, let r be any element of R and g be an arbitrary element in G . Then one gets the following equality that

$$g(er - r) = g(er) + g(-r) = (ge)r - gr = gr - gr = 0$$

Thus $(er - r) \in \text{Ann}(G)$.

Since G is faithful, it implies that $er - r = 0$, that is, $er = r$. Hence e is a two-sided identity of R . \square

REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1974.
2. G. Betsch, *Primitive near-rings*, Math. Z. **130** (1973), 351-361.
3. C. G. Lyons and J. D. P. Meldrum, *Characterizing series for faithful D.G. near-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 221-227.
4. S. J. Mahmood and J. D. P. Meldrum, *D.G. near-rings on the infinite dihedral groups*, Near-rings and Near-fields (1987), 151-166.
5. J. D. P. Meldrum, *Upper faithful D.G. near-rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **26** (1983), 361-370.
6. J. D. P. Meldrum, *Near-rings and their links with groups*, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1985.
7. G. Pilz, *Near-rings*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1983.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
SILLA UNIVERSITY
PUSAN 617-736, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail: yucho@silla.ac.kr