# SYMMETRIC BI-(f,g)-DERIVATIONS IN LATTICES

KYUNG HO KIM\* AND YONG HOON LEE\*\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, as a generalization of symmetric biderivations and symmetric bi-f-derivations of a lattice, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivations of a lattice. Also, we define the isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation and obtain some interesting results about isotone. Using the notion of  $Fix_a(L)$  and KerD, we give some characterization of symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivations in a lattice.

#### 1. Introduction

Lattices play an important role in many fields such as information theory, information retrieval, information access controls and cryptanalysis ([2], [6], [20]). Recently the properties of lattices were widely researched ([1], [2], [5], [10], [12], [20], [22]). In the theory of rings and near rings, the properties of derivations are an important topic to study ([3], [4], [19]). In [21], G. Szász introduced the notion of derivation on a lattice and discussed some related properties. Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin [13] applied the notion of derivation in ring, near ring and lattice theory to BCI-algebras. In [24], J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu introduced the notion of left-right (or right-left) f-derivation of a BCI algebra and investigated some properties.

Recently, the notion of f-derivation, symmetric bi-derivations and permuting tri-derivations in lattices are introduced and proved some results([8], [9] and [18]). In this paper, as a generalization of symmetric bi-derivations and symmetric bi-f-derivations of a lattice, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivations of a lattice. Also, we define the isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation and obtain some interesting

Received June 15, 2016; Accepted July 15, 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases: (semi)lattice, symmetric bi-derivation, symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation, isotone,  $Fix_a(L)$ , KerD.

Correspondence should be addressed to Yong Hoon Lee, yonghoon@dankook.ac.  ${\rm kr.}$ 

results about isotone. Using the notion of  $Fix_a(L)$  and KerD, we give some characterization of symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivations in a lattice.

#### 2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. Let L be a nonempty set endowed with operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ . By a lattice  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ , we mean a set L satisfying the following conditions:

- $(1) x \wedge x = x, x \vee x = x,$
- (2)  $x \wedge y = y \wedge x, \ x \vee y = y \vee x,$
- (3)  $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z), (x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z),$
- (4)  $(x \wedge y) \vee x = x$ ,  $(x \vee y) \wedge x = x$ , for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. A binary relation  $\leq$  is defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $x \vee y = y$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $(L, \land, \lor)$  be a lattice. Define the binary relation  $\leq$  as the Definition 2.2. Then  $(L, \leq)$  is a poset and for any  $x, y \in L$ ,  $x \land y$  is the greatest lower bound of  $\{x, y\}$  and  $x \lor y$  is the least upper bound of  $\{x, y\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A lattice L is distributive if the identity (1) or (2) holds:

- $(1) x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z),$
- (2)  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$ .

In any lattice, the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent.

DEFINITION 2.4. A lattice L is modular if the following identity holds: If  $x \leq z$ , then  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge z$ .

DEFINITION 2.5. A non-empty subset I of L is called an ideal if the following conditions hold:

- (1) If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .
- (2) If  $x, y \in I$  then  $x \vee y \in I$ .

DEFINITION 2.6. Let  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  be a lattice. Let  $f: L \to M$  be a function from a lattice L to a lattice M.

- (1) f is called a meet-homomorphism if  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .
- (2) f is called a join-homomorphism if  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .

(3) f is called a lattice-homomorphism if f is both a join-homomorphism and a meet-homomorphism.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let L be a lattice. A mapping  $D(.,.): L \times L \to L$  is said to be *symmetric* if D(x,y) = D(y,x) holds for all  $x,y \in L$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. Let L be a lattice. A mapping d(x) = D(x,x) is called a *trace* of D(.,.), where  $D(.,.): L \times L \to L$  is a symmetric mapping.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let L be a lattice and let  $D(.,.): L \times L \to L$  be a symmetric mapping. We call D a symmetric bi-derivation on L if it satisfies the following condition

$$D(x \wedge y, z) = (D(x, z) \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge D(y, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

Obviously, a symmetric bi-derivation D on L satisfies the relation

$$D(x, y \wedge z) = (D(x, y) \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge D(x, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

DEFINITION 2.10. Let L be a lattice and let  $D(.,.): L \times L \to L$  be a symmetric mapping. D is called a *symmetric bi-f-derivation* on L if there exists a function  $f: L \to L$  such that

$$D(x \wedge y, z) = (D(x, z) \wedge f(y)) \vee (f(x) \wedge D(y, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

## 3. Symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivations

DEFINITION 3.1. Let L be a lattice and let  $D(.,.): L \times L \to L$  be a symmetric mapping. D is called a *symmetric bi-*(f,g)-derivation on L if there exist two functions  $f,g:L\to L$  such that

$$D(x \wedge y, z) = (D(x, z) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

Obviously, a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation D on L satisfies the relation

$$D(x, y \land z) = (D(x, y) \land f(z)) \lor (g(y) \land D(x, z))$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let  $L = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be a lattice of following Figure 1 and define mappings D and f, g on L by

$$D(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,0) \\ 1 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,1) \\ 1 & \text{if } (x,y) = (1,0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (0,2) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (2,0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (1,1) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (2,2) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (1,2) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x,y) = (2,1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2, \end{cases} \qquad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2 \end{cases}$$

Figure 1

Then it is easily checked that D is a symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivation of a lattice L. But D is not a symmetric bi-derivation since

$$1 = D(0 \land 0, 0) \neq (D(0, 0) \land 0) \lor (0 \land D(0, 0)) = (1 \land 0) \lor (0 \land 1) = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let L be a lattice and d a trace of a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation D. Then

$$d(x) \le f(x) \lor g(x)$$

for all  $x \in L$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \wedge x = x$  for all  $x \in L$ , we have

$$d(x) = D(x,x) = D(x \land x,x) = (D(x,x) \land f(x)) \lor (g(x) \land D(x,x)).$$
 Since  $D(x,x) \land f(x) \le f(x)$  and  $D(x,x) \land g(x) \le g(x)$ , we get  $d(x) \le f(x) \lor g(x)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. Then  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x)$  and  $D(x,y) \leq f(y) \vee g(y)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \wedge x = x$  for all  $x \in L$ , we have for all  $y \in L$ ,

$$D(x,y) = D(x \land x,y) = (D(x,y) \land f(x)) \lor (g(x) \land D(x,y).$$

Since  $D(x,y) \wedge f(x) \leq f(x)$  and  $D(x,y) \wedge g(x) \leq g(x)$ , we have  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x)$ . Similarly,  $D(x,y) \leq f(y) \vee g(y)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ .

COROLLARY 3.1. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. If  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ , then  $D(x,y) \leq f(x)$  and  $D(x,y) \leq f(y)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. If L has a least element 0 such that f(0)=0 and g(0)=0, we have D(0,y)=0.

*Proof.* For all  $x, y \in L$ , we have  $D(x, y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x)$  from Proposition 3.4 Since 0 is the least element of a lattice L, we get

$$0 \le D(0, y) \le f(0) \lor g(0) = 0,$$

which implies D(0, y) = 0.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L where  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then the following identities hold for all  $x,y,w \in L$ :

- $(1) \ D(x,y) \wedge D(w,y) \le D(x \wedge w,y) \le D(x,y) \vee D(w,y).$
- (2)  $D(x \wedge w, y) \leq f(x) \vee f(w)$ .

*Proof.* (1) For all  $x, y, w \in L$ , we have

$$D(x \wedge w, y) = (D(x, y) \wedge f(w)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(w, y)),$$

which implies  $D(x,y) \wedge f(w) \leq D(x \wedge w,y)$ . Since  $D(w,y) \leq f(w)$  for all  $y \in L$ , we have  $D(x,y) \wedge D(w,y) \leq D(x,y) \wedge f(w)$ . Hence we get  $D(x,y) \wedge D(w,y) \leq D(x \wedge w,y)$ . Since  $D(x,y) \wedge f(w) \leq D(x,y)$  and  $g(x) \wedge D(w,y) \leq D(w,y)$ , we have  $D(x \wedge w,y) \leq D(x,y) \vee D(w,y)$ , which implies  $D(x,y) \wedge D(w,y) \leq D(x \wedge w,y) \leq D(x,y) \vee D(w,y)$ .

(2) Since  $D(x,y) \wedge f(w) \leq f(w)$  and  $g(x) \wedge D(w,y) \leq f(x) \wedge D(w,y) \leq f(x)$ , we get

$$(D(x,y) \land f(w)) \lor (g(x) \land D(y,w)) \le f(x) \lor f(w).$$

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let L be a lattice with a greatest element 1 and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L such that f(1)=g(1)=1. Then the following properties hold for all  $x,y \in L$ :

- (1) If  $f(x) \leq D(1,y)$  and  $g(x) \leq D(1,y)$ , then  $D(x,y) = f(x) \vee g(x)$ .
- (2) If  $g(x) \ge D(1, y)$ , then  $D(x, y) \ge D(1, y)$ .

*Proof.* (1) For all  $x, y \in L$ , we have

$$D(x,y) = D(x \wedge 1, y)$$
  
=  $(D(x,y) \wedge f(1)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(1,y))$   
=  $D(x,y) \vee g(x)$ .

Hence we have  $g(x) \leq D(x, y)$ .

Similarly, since  $x \wedge 1 = x$ , we obtain

$$D(x,y) = D(1 \land x,y)$$
  
=  $(D(1,y) \land f(x)) \lor (g(1) \land D(x,y))$   
=  $D(x,y) \lor f(x)$ .

Thus we get  $f(x) \leq D(x, y)$ .

From (1) and (2), we have

$$f(x) \lor g(x) \le D(x, y).$$

From Proposition 3.4, we have  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x)$ . Finally, we have

$$f(x) \lor g(x) \le D(x,y) \le f(x) \lor g(x),$$

which implies  $D(x,y) = f(x) \vee g(x)$ .

(2) For all  $x, y \in L$ ,

$$D(x,y) = D(x \wedge 1, y)$$

$$= (D(x,y) \wedge f(1)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(1,y))$$

$$= D(x,y) \vee D(1,y).$$

Hence we have  $D(x,y) \ge D(1,y)$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Let L be a distribute lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivation on L with the trace d. Then

$$d(x \wedge y) = (d(x) \wedge (f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge d(y)) \vee ((g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x,y))$$
 for all  $x,y \in L$ .

*Proof.* For all  $x, y \in L$ , we have

$$\begin{split} d(x \wedge y) &= D(x \wedge y, x \wedge y) \\ &= (D(x, x \wedge y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, x \wedge y)) \\ &= (D(x \wedge y, x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge (D(x \wedge y, y))) \\ &= \{[(D(x, x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(x, y))] \wedge f(y)\} \\ &\vee \{g(x) \wedge [(D(x, y) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, y))]\} \\ &= \{((d(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge f(y)) \vee ((g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x, y))\} \\ &\vee \{((g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x, y)) \vee ((g(x) \wedge (g(x) \wedge d(y))))\} \\ &= (d(x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge d(y)) \vee ((f(y) \wedge g(x)) \wedge D(x, y)). \end{split}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. Let L be a distribute lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivation with the trace d. Then for all  $x, y \in L$ ,

- (1)  $(g(x) \wedge f(y)) \wedge D(x,y) \leq d(x \wedge y)$ .
- (2)  $g(x) \wedge d(y) \leq d(x \wedge y)$ .
- (3)  $d(x) \wedge f(y) \leq d(x \wedge y)$ .

*Proof.* (1), (2) and (3) are easily seen from the above theorem respectively.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 3.3. Let L be a distribute lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation with the trace d. If 1 is the greatest element of L, we have  $(g(x) \wedge f(1)) \wedge D(x,1) \leq d(x \wedge 1) = d(x)$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $g(x) \wedge d(1) \leq d(x \wedge 1) = d(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L.

- (1) If  $x \leq w$  implies  $D(x,y) \leq D(w,y)$ , then D is called an *isotone* symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation.
- (2) If D is one-to-one, then D is called a monomorfic symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation.
- (3) If D is onto, then D is called an *epic symmetric bi-*(f, g)*-derivation*.

Theorem 3.2. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) D is an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation.
- (2)  $D(x,y) \vee D(w,y) \leq D(x \vee w,y)$  for all  $x,y,w \in L$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that D is an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. Since  $x \leq x \vee w$  and  $w \leq x \vee w$ , we obtain  $D(x,y) \leq$ 

 $D(x \lor w, y)$  and  $D(w, y) \le D(x \lor w, y)$ . Therefore,  $D(x, y) \lor D(w, y) \le D(x \lor w, y)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Suppose that  $D(x,y) \lor D(w,y) \le D(x \lor w,y)$  and  $x \le w$ . Then we have

$$D(x,y) \le D(x,y) \lor D(w,y) \le D(x \lor w,y)$$
  
=  $D(w,y)$ .

Hence D is an isotone symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivation on L.

Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. For each  $a \in L$  and define a set  $Fix_a(L)$  by

$$Fix_a(L) = \{x \in L \mid D(x, a) = f(x)\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let L be a lattice and let D an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. If  $f:L\to L$  is a lattice homomorphism and  $g(x)\leq f(x)$  for all  $x\in L$ , then  $Fix_a(L)$  is a sublattice of L.

Proof. Let  $x, y \in Fix_a(L)$ . Then D(x, a) = f(x) and D(y, a) = f(y). Then  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y) = D(x, a) \wedge D(y, a) \leq D(x \wedge y, a)$ . Hence  $D(x \wedge y, a) = f(x \wedge y)$ , that is,  $x \wedge y \in Fix_a(L)$ . Moreover, we have  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) = D(x, a) \vee D(y, a) \leq D(x \vee y, a)$  by Theorem 3.2. Thus  $D(x \vee y, a) = f(x \vee y)$ , which implies  $x \vee y \in Fix_a(L)$ .  $\square$ 

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let L be a lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L where  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . If f is an increasing function,  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in Fix_a(L)$  imply  $D(x,a) = D(x,a) \vee g(x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in Fix_a(L)$ . Then we have  $D(x, a) \leq f(x) \leq f(y)$  and  $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Hence we obtain

$$D(x,a) = D(x \wedge y, a)$$

$$= (D(x,a) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y,a))$$

$$= (D(x,a) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge f(y))$$

$$= D(x,a) \vee g(x).$$

This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let L be a distributive lattice and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation of L where  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ . If f is a meet-homomorphism and  $x,y \in Fix_a(L)$ , we have  $x \land y \in Fix_a(L)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ .

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in Fix_a(L)$ . Then f(x) = D(x, a) and f(y) = D(y, a). Hence we have

$$D(x \wedge y, a) = (D(x, a) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, a))$$

$$= (f(x) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge f(y))$$

$$= (f(x) \vee g(x)) \wedge f(y)$$

$$= f(x) \wedge f(y)$$

$$= f(x \wedge y),$$

which implies  $x \wedge y \in Fix_a(L)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let L be a lattice and let D be an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L where  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . If  $x,y \in Fix_a(L)$  and f is a increasing function, then  $x \vee y \in Fix_a(L)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x \leq x \vee y$  and  $y \leq x \vee y$ , we have  $f(x \vee y) \leq f(x)$  and  $f(x \vee y) \leq f(y)$  respectively. Hence we obtain  $f(x \vee y) \leq f(x) \vee f(y) = D(x,a) \vee D(y,a) \leq D(x \vee y,a)$  since D is an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation. From Proposition 3.4 (2), we have  $D(x \vee y,a) \leq f(x \vee y)$ , which implies  $D(x \vee y,a) = f(x \vee y)$ . Hence  $x \vee y \in Fix_a(L)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.10. Let L be a lattice, D a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L where  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  and 1 the greatest element of L. Then the following identities hold.

- (1) If  $g(x) \le D(1, y)$  and f(1) = 1, then D(x, y) = g(x).
- (2) If  $g(x) \ge D(1, y)$  and f(1) = 1, then  $D(x, y) \ge D(1, y)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $g(x) \leq D(1,y)$ . Then we have  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x) = g(x)$ , and so

$$D(x,y) = D(x \wedge 1, y)$$

$$= (D(x,y) \wedge f(1)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(1,y))$$

$$= D(x,y) \vee g(x)$$

$$= g(x).$$

(2) Let  $g(x) \geq D(1, y)$ . Then we have

$$D(x,y) = D(x \wedge 1, y)$$

$$= (D(x,y) \wedge f(1)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(1,y))$$

$$= D(x,y) \vee D(1,y).$$

Hence we obtain  $D(1,y) \leq D(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Let L be a lattice with the greatest element 1 and let D be an isotone symmetric bi-(f, g)-derivation on L. Let f(1) = g(1) = 1 and either  $f(x) \ge g(x)$  or  $f(x) \le g(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then

$$D(x,y) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1,y)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that D is an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. Then  $D(x,y) \leq D(1,y)$  for all  $x,y \in L$ . Now let  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for  $x \in L$ . Then we have  $D(x,y) \leq g(x) \vee f(x) = f(x)$ . From this, we get  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \wedge D(1,y)$ . Also, we obtain

$$\begin{split} D(x,y) &= D((x \vee 1) \wedge x, y) \\ &= [(D(x \vee 1), y) \wedge f(x)] \vee [g(x \vee 1) \wedge D(x, y)] \\ &= [D(1, y) \wedge f(x)] \vee [g(1) \wedge D(x, y)] \\ &= [D(D(1, y) \wedge f(x)] \vee [1 \wedge D(x, y)] \\ &= [D(1, y) \wedge f(x)] \vee D(x, y) \\ &= D(1, y) \wedge f(x). \end{split}$$

Since  $f(x) \vee g(x) = f(x)$ , we have

$$D(x,y) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1,y).$$

Now suppose that  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for  $x \in L$ . Similarly, we have  $D(x,y) \leq f(x) \vee g(x) = g(x)$ . From this, we have  $D(x,y) \leq g(x) \wedge D(1,y)$ . Also, we obtain

$$\begin{split} D(x,y) &= D(x \land (x \lor 1), y) \\ &= [(D(x,y) \land f(x \lor 1)] \lor [g(x) \land D((x \lor 1), y)] \\ &= [D(x,y) \land f(1)] \lor [g(x) \land D(1,y)] \\ &= [D(D(x,y) \land 1)] \lor [g(x) \land D(1,y)] \\ &= D(x,y) \lor [g(x) \land D(1,y)] \\ &= g(x) \land D(1,y). \end{split}$$

Since  $f(x) \vee g(x) = g(x)$ , we have

$$D(x,y) = (f(x) \lor g(x)) \land D(1,y).$$

 $\Box$ 

This completes the proof.

Let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation of L and let 0 be a least element of L. Define a set KerD by

$$KerD = \{x \in L \mid D(x,0) = 0\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.11. Let L be a lattice with a least element 0 and let D be a symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. If  $x,y \in KerD$ , then  $x \wedge y \in KerD$ .

Proof. Let 
$$x, y \in KerD$$
. Then  $D(x, 0) = D(y, 0) = 0$ . Hence we have 
$$D(x \wedge y, 0) = (D(x, 0) \wedge f(y)) \vee (g(x) \wedge D(y, 0))$$
$$= (0 \wedge f(x)) \vee (g(x) \wedge 0)$$
$$= 0 \vee 0 = 0,$$

which implies  $x \wedge y \in KerD$ .

PROPOSITION 3.12. Let L be a lattice with a least element 0 and let D be an isotone symmetric bi-(f,g)-derivation on L. If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in KerD$ , then  $x \in KerD$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in KerD$ . Then D(y,0) = 0 and  $D(x,0) \le D(y,0) = 0$  since D is isotone. Hence we have D(x,0) = 0, and so

$$D(x,0) = D(x \land y,0) = (D(x,0) \land f(y)) \lor (g(x) \land D(y,0))$$
  
=  $(0 \land f(x)) \lor (g(x) \land 0))$   
=  $0 \lor 0 = 0$ ,

which implies  $x \in KerD$ .

### References

- [1] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, United States, 1974.
- [2] A. J. Bell, The co-information lattice, in: 4th International Symposium on Independent Component Analysis and Blind Signal Separation (ICA2003), Nara, Japan, 2003, 921-926.
- [3] H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe, Rings in wich derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions, Acta Math. Hungar. **53** (1989), no. 3-4, 339-346.
- [4] H. E. Bell and G. Mason, On derivations in near-rings and near-fields, North-Holland Math. Studies 137 (1987), 31-35.
- [5] G. Birkhoof, Lattice Theory, American Mathematical Society Colloquium, 1940.
- [6] C. Carpineto and G. Romano, Information retrieval through hybrid navigation of lattice representations, Int. J. Human-Computers Studies 45 (1996), 553-558.
- [7] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, On the trace of a permuting tri-additive mapping in left s-unital rings, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 23 (2005), no. 4, 465-474.
- [8] Y. Çeven and M. A. Öztürk, On f-derivations of lattices, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 45 (2008), no. 4, 701-707.
- [9] Y. Çeven, Symmetric bi-derivations of Lattices, Quaestiones Mathematicae 32 (2009), no. 2, 1-5.

- [10] C. Degang, Z. Wenxiu, D. Yeung, and E. C. C. Tsang, Rough approximations on a complete distributive lattice with applications to generalized rough sets, Informat. Sci. 176 (2006), 1829-1848.
- [11] L. Ferrari, On derivations of lattices, Pure Math. Appl. 12 (2001), no. 4, 365-382.
- [12] A. Honda and M. Grabish, Entropy of capacities on lattices and set systems, Inform. Sci. 176 (2006), 3472-3489.
- [13] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, On derivations of BCI-algebras, Inform. Sci. 159 (2004), 167-176.
- [14] F. Karacal, On the direct decomposability of strong negations and S-implication operators on product lattices, Informat. Sci. 176 (2006), 3011-3025.
- [15] D. Özden and M. A. Öztürk, Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime gamma rings, Kyungpook Math. J. 46 (2006), 153-167.
- [16] M. A. Öztürk, Permuting Tri-derivations in Prime and Semi-prime Rings, East Asian Math. J. 15 (1999), no. 2, 177-190.
- [17] M. A. Öztürk, Y. Çeven, and Y. B. Jun, Generalized derivations of BCIalgebras, Honam Math. J. 31 (2009), no. 4, 601-609.
- [18] M. A. Öztürk, H. Yazarlı and K. H. Kim, Permuting tri-derivations in lattices, Quaestiones Mathematicae 32 (2009), no. 3, 415-425.
- [19] E. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [20] R. S. Sandhu, Role hierarchies and constraints for lattice-based access controls in: Proceedings of the 4th European Symposium on Research in Computer Security, Rome, Italy, 1996, 65-79.
- [21] G. Szász, Derivations of lattices, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 37 (1975), 149-154.
- [22] X. L. Xin, T. Y. Li, and J. H. Lu, On derivations of lattices, Information Sciences 178 (2008), no. 2, 307-316.
- [23] H. Yazarl, M. A. Öztürk, and Y. B. Jun, Tri-additive maps and permuting tri-derivations, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1 54 (2005), no. 1, 1-8.
- [24] J. Zhan and Y. L. Liu, On f-derivations of BCI-algebras, Int. J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2005, 1675-1684.

\*

Department of Mathematics Korea National University of transportation Chungju 380-702, Republic of Korea E-mail: ghkim@ut.ac.kr

\*\*

Department of Mathematics Dankook University Cheonan 330-714, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: yonghoon@dankook.ac.kr