# ON PROPERTIES OF DERIVATIVES WITH RESPECT TO FUZZY MEASURES

## Jongsung Choi\*

ABSTRACT. Fuzzy measures in this paper are a class of distorted Lebesgue measures (see [5]). We investigate some properties of the derivatives with respect to distorted Lebesgue measures and give some important examples.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra including all the closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ . We consider a measurable space  $(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{B})$ . A fuzzy measure is defined as a set function  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- (1)  $\mu(\phi)=0$ ,
- (2)  $A \subset B \Longrightarrow \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ ,
- (3)  $(A_n \uparrow A \Longrightarrow \mu(A_n) \uparrow \mu(A))$  and  $(A_n \downarrow A \Longrightarrow \mu(A_n) \downarrow \mu(A))$ .

The Choquet integral is a non-additive integral of a function with respect to a fuzzy measure (see [1,4]). In [5], Sugeno discussed Choquet integral equations of a measurable, non-negative, continuous and increasing function g on the non-negative real line. The Choquet integral of g with respect to a fuzzy measure  $\mu$  on a set A was defined as

(1.1) 
$$f(t) = (C) \int_{A} g(t) d\mu = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu \left( \{ t | g(t) \ge r \} \cap A \right) dr.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}^+$  be a class of measurable, non-negative, continuous and increasing functions such that  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a Lebesgue measure such that  $\lambda([a,b]) = b-a$  for  $[a,b] \subset [0,\infty)$ .

Now we quote two definitions and one theorem from Sugeno (see [5]) to understand our problems.

Received May 19, 2014; Accepted June 30, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 28E10, 46A55.

Key words and phrases: Choquet integral, fuzzy measures.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $m: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a continuous and increasing function m(0) = 0. A fuzzy measure  $\mu_m$ , a distorted Lebesgue measure, is defined by

(1.2) 
$$\mu_m(\cdot) = m(\lambda(\cdot)).$$

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $g \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , then the Choquet integral of g with respect to  $\mu$  on [0,t] is represented as

(1.3) 
$$\int_0^\infty \mu(\{t|g(t) \ge r\} \cap [0,t]) dr = -\int_0^t \mu'([\tau,t]) g(\tau) d\tau.$$

In particular, for  $\mu = \mu_m$ 

(1.4) 
$$\int_0^\infty \mu(\{t|g(t) \ge r\} \cap [0,t]) dr = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau)g(\tau) d\tau.$$

DEFINITION 1.3. For a continuous and increasing function f(t) with f(0) = 0, the derivatives of a function f with respect to a fuzzy measure  $\mu_m$  is defined as the inverse operation of the Choquet integral based on (1.1) and (1.4) by

(1.5) 
$$\frac{df(t)}{d\mu_m(t)} = g(t),$$

if g(t) is found to be an element of  $\mathcal{F}^+$ .

In section 2, we investigate properties of derivatives with respect to fuzzy measures. Moreover some important and easy examples are given.

## 2. Properties of derivatives

From Definition 1.3, we need to consider a class of f(t)'s for a given m(t) such that

(1.6) 
$$\mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+) = \{ f | f(t) = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau, \quad g(t) \in \mathcal{F}^+ \}.$$

Note that  $f(t) \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$  is a continuous and increasing function with f(0) = 0. From the condition of g(t), we show that (1.5) is linear only for non-negative constants.

THEOREM 2.1. If  $f_1(t), f_2(t) \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$  and  $\alpha$  is a non-negative real number, then  $\frac{d}{d\mu_m}(f_1(t)+f_2(t))$  and  $\frac{d}{d\mu_m}(\alpha f_1(t))$  exist and satisfy the followings:

(1) 
$$\frac{d}{d\mu_m} (f_1(t) + f_2(t)) = \frac{df_1(t)}{d\mu_m} + \frac{df_2(t)}{d\mu_m},$$

(2) 
$$\frac{d}{d\mu_m} \left( \alpha f_1(t) \right) = \alpha \frac{df_1(t)}{d\mu_m}.$$

*Proof.* From the condition of  $f_1(t)$  and  $f_2(t)$ , we see that

$$f_1(t) = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau) \frac{df_1(\tau)}{d\mu_m} d\tau$$

and

(1.8) 
$$f_2(t) = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau) \frac{df_2(\tau)}{d\mu_m} d\tau.$$

Adding (1.7) and (1.8), we obtain that

$$f_1(t) + f_2(t) = \int_0^t m'(t - \tau) \left( \frac{df_1(\tau)}{d\mu_m} + \frac{df_2(\tau)}{d\mu_m} \right) d\tau.$$

From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}^+$  and  $\mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$ , we know that

$$\frac{df_1(t)}{d\mu_m} + \frac{df_2(t)}{d\mu_m} \in \mathcal{F}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(t) + f_2(t) \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+).$$

Hence (1) is proved.

From (1.7), we see that

$$\alpha f_1(t) = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau)\alpha \frac{df_1(\tau)}{d\mu_m} d\tau.$$

Since  $\alpha \frac{df_1(t)}{d\mu_m} \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , we obtain that  $\alpha f_1(t) \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$ . Hence (2) is proved.

Note that the definition of Choquet integral is convolution. If we use Laplace transformation, then we can calculate derivatives of some functions. Of course, those can be also proved by direct calculations.

THEOREM 2.2. Let M(s) be the Laplace transform of m(t). We have the followings:

(1) 
$$\frac{dm(t)}{d\mu_m} = 1,$$

(2) 
$$\frac{d}{d\mu_m} \left( n \int_0^t m(\tau)(t-\tau)^{n-1} d\tau \right) = t^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* From  $1 \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)d\tau\right\} = sM(s)\frac{1}{s} = M(s)$$

and

$$\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)d\tau = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{M(s)\} = m(t).$$

Hence (1) is proved.

Since  $t^n \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)\tau^n d\tau\right\} = sM(s)\frac{n!}{s^{n+1}} = n!\frac{M(s)}{s^n}$$

and

$$\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)\tau^n d\tau = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ n! \frac{M(s)}{s^n} \right\} = n! \int_0^t m(\tau) \frac{(t-\tau)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} d\tau$$
$$= n \int_0^t m(\tau) (t-\tau)^{n-1} d\tau.$$

Hence (2) is proved.

By the almost same method, we can answer what are anti-derivatives of some transcendental functions with respect to distorted fuzzy measures.

THEOREM 2.3. If m(t) has the Laplace transform, then we have the followings:

(1) 
$$\frac{d}{d\mu_m} \left( m(t) + a \int_0^t m(\tau) e^{a(t-\tau)} d\tau \right) = e^{at}, \quad a \ge 0,$$

(2) 
$$\frac{d}{d\mu_m} \left( \int_0^t \frac{m(t-\tau)}{\tau+1} d\tau \right) = \ln(t+1).$$

*Proof.* Since  $e^{at} \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)e^{a\tau}d\tau\right\} = sM(s)\frac{1}{s-a} = \left(1 + \frac{a}{s-a}\right)M(s)$$
$$= M(s) + aM(s)\frac{1}{s-a}$$

and

$$\int_0^t m'(t-\tau)e^{a\tau}d\tau = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{M(s) + aM(s)\frac{1}{s-a}\right\}$$
$$= m(t) + a\int_0^t m(\tau)e^{a(t-\tau)}d\tau.$$

Hence (1) is proved.

From  $\ln(t+1) \in \mathcal{F}^+$  and integration by parts, we have

$$\int_0^t m'(t-\tau) \ln(\tau+1) d\tau = -m(t-\tau) \ln(\tau+1) \Big|_0^t + \int_0^t \frac{m(t-\tau)}{\tau+1} d\tau$$
$$= \int_0^t \frac{m(t-\tau)}{\tau+1} d\tau.$$

For a given f(t) and a fuzzy measure  $\mu_m$ , to find g(t) is to obtain the solution of a Volterra integral equation of the first kind (see [3]). Moreover, we point out that the existence of a solution g(t) is based on the Radon-Nikodym Theorem (see [2]). The existence of derivatives depends on a fuzzy measure  $\mu_m$ . Now we give some examples.

EXAMPLE 2.4. 
$$t \notin \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$$
, that is  $\not\exists \frac{dt}{d\mu_m}$  for  $m(t) = e^t - 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $t \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$ , we have

(1.9) 
$$t = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau)x_1(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^t e^{t-\tau}x_1(\tau)d\tau$$

where 
$$\frac{dt}{d\mu_m} = x_1(t) \in \mathcal{F}^+$$
.

But differentiating (1.9), we have

$$1 = e^t \int_0^t e^{-\tau} x_1(\tau) d\tau + x_1(t) = t + x_1(t).$$

From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}^+$ , we obtain

$$x_1(t) = 1 - t \notin \mathcal{F}^+.$$

It is proved by this controdiction.

Example 2.5. 
$$t \in \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{F}^+)$$
, that is  $\frac{dt}{du_m} \in \mathcal{F}^+$  for  $m(t) = t$ .

Proof.

(1.10) 
$$t = \int_0^t m'(t-\tau)x_1(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^t x_1(\tau)d\tau$$

where 
$$\frac{dt}{d\mu_m} = x_1(t) \in \mathcal{F}^+$$
.

Differentialing (1.10), we have

$$x_1(t) = 1 \in \mathcal{F}^+.$$

Acknowledgment.

The author wishes to thank the referees for careful reading this paper.

## References

- [1] G. Choquet, Théorie de capacités, Ann. Inst. Fourier 5 (1955), 131-295.
- [2] S. Graf, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for capacities, J. Reine Angew. Math. 1980 (1980), 192-214.
- [3] G. Gripenberg, On Volterra equations of the first kind, Integral Equation Oper. Theory 3 (1980), 473-488.
- [4] U. Hőhle, *Integration with respect to fuzzy measures*, in: Proceedings of the IFAC Symposium on Theory and Application of Digital Control, New Delhi, 1982, 35-37.
- [5] M. Sugeno, A note on derivatives of functions with respect to fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 222 (2013), 1-17.

\*

Division of General Education-Mathematics Kwangwoon University Seoul 139-701, Republic of Korea E-mail: jeschoi@kw.ac.kr