

## ON AN $R$ - $E$ -KKM THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

WON KYU KIM\*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first introduce an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map in the  $E$ -convex settings, and next we prove an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM theorem which generalizes the KKM theorem and the best proximity theorem simultaneously. As applications, a best proximity theorem and a fixed point theorem in  $E$ -convex sets are given.

### 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [5], Raj and Somasundaram introduce an  $R$ -KKM map which extends the notion of KKM maps in best proximity settings, and obtain the finite intersection theorem. As applications, they prove the existence of a best proximity point which is an extended version of the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem in a normed linear space. Recently, in [3], the author introduces a generalized  $E$ -KKM map using the  $E$ -convexity, and proves the finite intersection theorem for a generalized  $E$ -KKM map and fixed point theorems as applications.

In this paper, combining those two concepts in [3, 5], we first introduce the  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map which generalizes the classical KKM map and  $R$ -KKM map simultaneously in the  $E$ -convex settings. Next, we prove an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM theorem which generalizes the classical KKM Theorem and the best proximity theorem simultaneously. As applications, a best proximity theorem and a fixed point theorem in  $E$ -convex sets are given.

---

Received December 13, 2013; Revised January 06, 2014; Accepted January 16, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, 52A07.

Key words and phrases:  $E$ -convex,  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map, best proximity.

This work was supported by the research grant of Chungbuk National University in 2013.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some notations and definitions. Let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $Y$ . We shall denote by  $2^X$  the family of all subsets of  $X$ , and for any nonempty subset  $A$  of  $Y$ , by  $co A$  the convex hull of  $A$  in  $Y$ . We shall say  $A$  is *compactly closed* if for each compact subset  $K$  in  $X$ ,  $A \cap K$  is closed in  $X$ . When a multimap  $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  is given, we shall denote  $T^{-1}(y) := \{x \in X \mid y \in T(x)\}$  for each  $y \in Y$ . Denote by  $[0, 1]^n$  the Cartesian product of  $n$  unit intervals  $[0, 1] \times \cdots \times [0, 1]$ , and denote the unit simplex in  $[0, 1]^n$  by  $\Delta_{n-1}$ , and simply denote  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ . Recall that a set  $X$  is said to be *E-convex* [6] with respect to a map  $E : Y \rightarrow Y$  if there is a mapping  $E : Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\lambda E(x) + (1 - \lambda)E(y) \in X$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . We define a metric  $d$  on  $X$  by  $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$  for each  $x, y \in X$ ; and for each  $x \in A$ , we denote  $d(x, B) := \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$  and  $dist(A, B) := \inf_{x \in A} d(x, B)$ . Then the pair  $(A, B)$  is said to be *E-proximal* if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $y \in B$  such that  $d(E(x), E(y)) = dist(A, B)$ . Then, it is clear that  $(A, A)$  is an *E-proximal* pair.

From now on, we shall assume that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space equipped with a given map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ .

Now we first introduce the general notion of *R-E-KKM* maps which fit into the generalized KKM theorem for best proximity point setting as follows:

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(A, B)$  be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space  $X$  with a map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ . A multimap  $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$  is called a *generalized R-E-KKM map* (simply, *R-E-KKM map*) on  $A$  if for any finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ , there exists a finite subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$  such that

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = dist(A, B) \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n; \text{ and}$$

$$co(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

for any subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ).

**REMARK 2.2.** If  $E$  is the identity map on  $X$ , then an *R-E-KKM* map is a generalization of *R-KKM* maps in [5], and if  $A = B$ , then an *R-E-KKM* map reduces to the generalized *E-KKM* map in [3] since  $E(x_i) = E(y_i)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, if  $A = B$  and  $E$  is the

identity map on  $X$ , then an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map reduces to the generalized KKM map in [2]. When  $A = B$  and  $E$  is the identity map on  $X$ , and if we take  $x_i = y_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then the  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map reduces to a KKM map in [4].

Now we shall give an example that there exists an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map which is not an E-KKM map:

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = [0, 2]$ , and  $B = [0, 2]$ . Let  $E : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping on  $X$  defined by

$$E(x) := \begin{cases} x, & \text{for each } 0 \leq x \leq 1; \\ 2 - x, & \text{for each } 1 < x \leq 2; \\ 0, & \text{for each } x \in X \setminus A; \end{cases}$$

and the multimap  $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$  be defined by

$$T(x) := \begin{cases} [0, 1 + x], & \text{for each } 0 \leq x \leq 1; \\ [1, x], & \text{for each } 1 < x \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each  $x \in (1, 2]$ ,  $E(x) = 2 - x \notin T(x) = [1, x]$  so that  $T$  can not be an E-KKM map on  $A$ . Now we show that  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ . Indeed, for any finite set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ , we shall show that there exists a finite set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$  such that for any subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), we have that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0$ , and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j}).$$

First, in case of  $1 < x_i \leq 2$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , if we take  $y_i := 2 - x_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then for any subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), we have that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \|(2 - x_i) - y_i\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(y_{i_j}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k [0, 1 + (2 - x_{i_k})];$$

so that  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ . Next, in case of  $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we should take  $y_i := x_i$  for such  $i$ ; and in case of  $1 < x_j \leq 2$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , then we should take  $y_j := 2 - x_j$  for

such  $j$ . Then, for any subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  ( $i \leq k \leq n$ ), we have that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) = 0,$$

and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(y_{i_j});$$

so that  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ .

### 3. An $R$ - $E$ -KKM theorem and its applications

Now we begin with the following

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(A, B)$  be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space  $X$  with a map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ , and  $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$  be an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ . If  $T(x)$  is finitely closed (i.e., for each finite dimensional subspace  $L$  in  $X$ ,  $T(x) \cap L$  is closed in the Euclidean topology in  $L$ ) for each  $x \in A$ . Then the family of sets  $\{T(x) \mid x \in A\}$  has the finite intersection property. Furthermore, if  $A$  is  $E$ -convex, then for any finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ , there exist  $\hat{x} \in A$  and  $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$  such that  $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* For any finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ , we first show that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ , there exists a finite subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq B$  with

$$\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

such that for any subset  $\{y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ),

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_{i_1}), \dots, E(y_{i_k})\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

holds, and in particular,  $\text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_n)\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$ .

Now we consider the  $(n-1)$ -simplex  $\Delta_{n-1}$  with the vertices  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$ ; and define a continuous map  $f : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow X$  by

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i E(y_i), \quad \text{for each } (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_{n-1}.$$

Since  $f(\Delta_{n-1}) = \text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_n)\})$  is a finite dimensional subset of  $Y$  and  $T(x_i)$  is nonempty finitely closed in  $Y$ , each  $f^{-1}(T(x_i))$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $\Delta_{n-1}$ . Therefore, we consider the family of

nonempty  $n$  closed subsets  $\{G_i := f^{-1}(T(x_i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\Delta_{n-1}$ , and now we will show  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map, for any indices  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ ,

$$f(\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} e_{i_j}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} E(y_{i_j}) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{i_j} e_{i_j} &\in f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k T(x_{i_j})\right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k f^{-1}(T(x_{i_j})) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^k G_{i_j} \subseteq \Delta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can apply the KKM theorem [4] to the family of closed subsets  $\{G_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  of  $\Delta_{n-1}$  so that we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$$\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(T(x_i)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)\right)$$

so that we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ .

Next, we assume that  $A$  is  $E$ -convex, then we shall show that for a given finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ , there exist  $\hat{x} \in A$  and  $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)$  such that  $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ . Indeed, if we let  $\hat{e} := \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i e_i \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$ , then  $\hat{y} := f(\hat{e}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(y_i) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i) \subseteq B$ . If we take  $\hat{x} := \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(x_i)$ , then  $\hat{x} \in A$  since  $A$  is  $E$ -convex. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq \text{dist}\left(\hat{x}, \bigcap_{i=1}^n T(x_i)\right) \leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i E(y_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_i \cdot \|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2.

- (1) Theorem 3.1 generalizes both Theorem 3.1 in [3] and Theorem 3.1 in [5] in the following aspects:
  - (a)  $T$  is an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map which generalizes an  $R$ -KKM map in [5] and generalized  $E$ -KKM map in [3] simultaneously;
  - (b) the pair  $(A, B)$  need not be proximal as in Theorem 3.1 [5];
  - (c)  $E$  need not be the identity map on  $X$  as in Theorem 3.1 [3].

- (2) In case of  $T(\hat{x}) = B$  for  $\hat{x} \in X$  in the conclusion of Theorem 3.1, since  $\hat{y} \in B = T(\hat{x})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq d(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) \leq d(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) + d(\hat{y}, T(\hat{x})) \\ &= \text{dist}(A, B) + d(\hat{y}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

so that we have  $d(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B)$ , i.e.,  $\hat{x}$  is the proximity point for  $T$ .

- (3) In Theorem 3.1, if we replace the finitely closed assumption on  $T(x)$  with compactly closed assumption on  $T(x)$ , then we can obtain the same conclusion by slight modification of the above proof.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following which is a generalization of the KKM theorem in E-convex settings:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $(A, B)$  be a nonempty pair of a normed linear space  $X$  with a map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $A$  an  $E$ -convex set, and  $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$  be an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map. If  $T(x)$  is compactly closed for each  $x \in A$ , and  $T(x_o)$  is compact for some  $x_o \in A$ , then  $\bigcap_{x \in A} T(x) \neq \emptyset$ , and there exist  $\hat{x} \in A$  and  $\hat{y} \in B$  such that  $\|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$ .*

The following best proximity theorem, which includes the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem [4] in non-compact E-convex sets in normed linear spaces, can be a basic tool in proving many variational inequalities and intersection theorems in E-convex settings:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $(A, B)$  be a nonempty  $E$ -proximal pair of a normed linear space  $X$  with a map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ , and let  $T : A \rightarrow 2^B$  be a multimap satisfying the following:*

- (1) *for each  $x \in A$ ,  $T(x)$  is a compactly open proper subset of  $B$ ;*
- (2) *for each  $y \in B$ ,  $T^{-1}(y)$  is a nonempty  $E$ -convex subset of  $A$ ;*
- (3) *there exists an  $y_o \in B$  such that  $B \setminus T(y_o)$  is compact.*

*Then there is a best proximity point  $\hat{x} \in A$  such that*

$$\text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

*Proof.* By the assumption (1), each  $T(x)$  is a proper subset of  $B$ . Consider a multimap  $S : A \rightarrow 2^B$  defined by

$$S(x) := B \setminus T(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in A.$$

By the assumption (1), each  $S(x)$  is nonempty compactly closed in  $B$ , and by the assumption (3),  $S(y_o)$  is compact. Note that  $B = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$ .

In fact, for each  $y \in B$ , by the assumption (2), choose  $x \in T^{-1}(y)$ ; then  $y \in T(x)$ . Therefore,  $B = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$  so that we have

$$\bigcap_{x \in A} S(x) = \bigcap_{x \in A} (B \setminus T(x)) = B \setminus \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.3,  $S$  should not be an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ . Therefore, there must exist a finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq A$  such that there exist  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq B$  with  $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), and

$$\text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_m)\}) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m T(x_i). \quad (*)$$

Indeed, for given  $x_i \in A$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), since  $(A, B)$  is an  $E$ -proximal pair, there exists  $y_i \in B$  such that  $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then, the set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq B$  satisfies the condition  $\|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). Since  $S$  is not an  $R$ - $E$ -KKM map on  $A$ , the formula  $(*)$  should hold. Therefore, there exists a point  $\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i) \in \text{co}(\{E(y_1), \dots, E(y_m)\})$  with  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Delta_{m-1}$  such that

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^m S(x_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (B \setminus T(x_i)) = B \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^m T(x_i)$$

so that  $\hat{y} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m T(x_i)$ . Therefore,  $x_i \in T^{-1}(\hat{y})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $T^{-1}(\hat{y})$  is  $E$ -convex by the assumption (2), we have

$$E(T^{-1}(\hat{y})) \subseteq \text{co}\{E(T^{-1}(\hat{y}))\} \subseteq T^{-1}(\hat{y}).$$

If we take  $\hat{x} := \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(x_i) \in T^{-1}(\hat{y}) \subseteq A$ , then  $\hat{y} \in T(\hat{x})$  so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(A, B) &\leq \text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) \leq \|\hat{x} - \hat{y}\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i E(y_i)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \cdot \|E(x_i) - E(y_i)\| = \text{dist}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\text{dist}(\hat{x}, T(\hat{x})) = \text{dist}(A, B)$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.5.** In Theorem 3.4, when  $B$  is a compact set, then each  $T(x)$  is clearly open so that the assumption (3) is automatically satisfied. In this case, Theorem 3.4 generalizes the Fan-Browder fixed point theorem in non-compact  $E$ -convex settings in normed linear spaces.

When  $A = B$  in Theorem 3.4, since  $(A, A)$  is clearly an  $E$ -proximal pair of a normed linear space  $X$ , we can obtain the following fixed point theorem

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of a normed linear space  $X$  equipped with a map  $E : X \rightarrow X$ , and let  $T : A \rightarrow 2^A$  be a multimap satisfying the following:*

- (1) *for each  $x \in A$ ,  $T(x)$  is an open (proper) subset of  $A$ ;*
- (2) *for each  $y \in A$ ,  $T^{-1}(y)$  is a nonempty  $E$ -convex subset of  $A$ ;*
- (3) *there exists an  $y_o \in A$  such that  $B \setminus T(y_o)$  is compact.*

*Then there is a fixed point  $\hat{x} \in A$  for  $T$ , i.e.,  $\hat{x} \in T(\hat{x})$ .*

### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions for improvement of this paper.

### References

- [1] F. E. Browder, *The fixed point theory of multi-valued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Math. Ann. **117** (1968), 283-301.
- [2] S. S. Chang and Y. Zhang, *Generalized KKM theorem and variational inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **159** (1991), 208-223.
- [3] W. K. Kim, *On a generalized E-KKM theorem*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **26** (2013), 533-539.
- [4] B. Knaster, K. Kuratowski, and S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für  $n$ -Dimensionale Simplexe*, Fund. Math. **14** (1929), 132-137.
- [5] V. S. Raj and S. Somasundaram, *KKM-type theorems for best proximity points*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012), 496-499.
- [6] E. A. Youness, *On E-convex sets, E-convex functions and E-convex programming*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **102** (1999), 439-450.

\*

Department of Mathematics Education  
 Chungbuk National University  
 Cheongju 361-763, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* wkkim@chungbuk.ac.kr