JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 18, No. 1, April 2005

# SEMI-COMPATIBILITY, COMPATIBILITY AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

#### BIJENDRA SINGH\* AND SHISHIR JAIN\*\*

ABSTRACT. The object of this paper is to introduce the concept of a pair of semi-compatible self-maps in a fuzzy metric space to establish a fixed point theorem for four self-maps. It offers an extension of Vasuki [10] to four self-maps under the assumption of semi-compatibility and compatibility, repsectively. At the same time, these results give the alternate results of Grebiec [5] and Vasuki [9] as well.

#### 1. Introduction

Zadeh's [11] introduction of the notion of fuzzy set laid the foundation of fuzzy mathematics. George and Veeramani [4] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [6]. Vasuki [10] and Singh and Chauhan [8] introduced the concept of *R*-weakly commuting and compatible maps, respectively, in fuzzy metric space. Recently, Cho et al [2] initiated the concept of compatible maps of type ( $\beta$ ) in fuzzy metric spaces by giving interesting relationship of these type of mapping with compatible and compatible of type ( $\alpha$ ) mappings.

In [3], Cho, Sharma and Sahu introduced the non-symmetrical concept of semi-compatibility of maps in *d*-complete topological spaces. They defined a pair of self-maps (S,T) to be semi-compatible if the condition (i) Sy = Ty implies STy = TSy and (ii)  $\{Sx_n\} \to x$  and

Received by the editors on September 06, 2004.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: *R*-weakly commuting map, complete fuzzy metric space, semi-compatible map, compatible map, unique common fixed point.

 ${Tx_n} \to x \text{ imply } STx_n \to Tx, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \text{ hold. However, (ii) implies}$ (i), taking  $x_n = y$  and x = Ty = Sy. So we define semi-compatibility by the condition (ii) only in the setting of fuzzy metric space.

In this paper, the notions of weak-compatible and semi-compatible maps in fuzzy metric space have been introduced by giving interesting relationship of this type of maps with compatible and compatible of type ( $\alpha$ ) and compatible of type ( $\beta$ ) maps. Using these concepts, one can obtain some generalized fixed point theorem which extends the result of Vasuki [10] in the following ways:

(a) by increasing the number of self-maps from 2 to 4,

(b) by reducing the assumption of *R*-weakly commuting maps to that of compatible or semi-compatible and weak-compatible maps only.

### 2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. A binary operation  $* : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a *t*-norm if ([0,1],\*) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  for  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$ .

Examples of t-norms are a \* b = ab and  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. ([9]) The 3-tuple (X, M, \*) is called a *fuzzy metric* space if X is an arbitrary set, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and s, t > 0

$$(F.M-1) \ M(x,y,0) = 0,$$

$$(F.M-2)$$
  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y_{t}$ 

 $(F.M-3) \ M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),$ 

 $(F.M-4) \ M(x,y,t) * M(y,z,s) \le M(x,z,t+s),$ 

(F.M-5)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is left continuous,

 $(F.M-6) \lim_{t\to\infty} M(x,y,t) = 1.$ 

Note that M(x, y, t) can be considered as the degree of nearness

between x and y with respect to t. We identify x = y with M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0. The following example shows that every metric space induces a fuzzy metric space.

EXAMPLE 2.1. ([4]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  and  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all t > 0. Then (X, M, \*) is a fuzzy metric space. It is called the fuzzy metric space induced by the metric d.

LEMMA 2.1. ([5]) For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is a non-decreasing function.

DEFINITION 2.3. ([5]) Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to converge to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$  for all t > 0. Further, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a *Cauchy sequence* if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1$  for all t > 0 and p > 0. The space is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X.

#### 3. Compatible maps

In this section, we give the concept of different types of compatible maps and some properties of them for our main result.

DEFINITION 3.1. ([10]) Two maps A and S from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) into itself are said to be *R*-weakly commuting if there exists a positive real number R such that for each  $x \in X$ 

$$M(ASx, SAx, Rt) \ge M(Ax, Sx, t)$$

for all t > 0.

DEFINITION 3.2. ([7]) Two maps A and B from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) into itself are said to be *compatible* if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = x$$

for some  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 3.3. ([1]) Two maps A and B from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) into itself are said to be *compatible of type*  $(\alpha)$  if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ABx_n, BBx_n, t) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(BAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = x$ 

for some  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. ([2]) Two maps A and B from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) into itself are said to be *compatible of type*  $(\beta)$  if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(A^2 x_n, B^2 x_n, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0, whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = x$$

for some  $x \in X$ .

DEFINITION 3.5. Two maps A and B from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) into itself are said to be *weak-compatible* if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., Ax = Bx implies ABx = BAx.

DEFINITION 3.6. A pair (A, S) of self-maps of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) is said to be *semi-compatible* if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ASx_n = Sx$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_n = x \in X.$$

It follows that (A, S) is semi-compatible and Ay = Sy then ASy = SAy.

REMARK 3.1. Let (A, S) be a pair of self-maps of a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*). Then (A, S) is *R*-weakly commuting implies that (A, S) is compatible, which implies that (A, S) is weak-compatible. But the converse is not true. The following is an example of a pair of self-maps which is weakly compatible, but not compatible. Hence it is not *R*-weakly commuting.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let (X, M, \*) be a fuzzy metric space, where X = [0, 2], *t*-norm is defined by  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$  and  $M(x, y, t) = e^{-\frac{|x-y|}{t}}$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and all t > 0. Define self-maps A and S on X as follows:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 2 - x & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1\\ 2 & \text{if } 1 \le x \le 2\\ Sx = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1\\ 2 & \text{if } 1 \le x \le 2 \end{cases}$$

Take  $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $x_n \to 1$ ,  $x_n < 1$  and  $2 - x_n > 1$  for all n. Also  $Ax_n, Sx_n \to 1$  and  $n \to \infty$ . Now

$$M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = e^{-\frac{|ASx_n - SAx_n|}{t}} \to e^{-\frac{1}{t}} \neq 1$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . So A and S are not compatible. The set of coincident points of A and S is [1,2]. For any  $x \in [1,2]$ , Ax = Sx = 2 and ASx = A(2) = 2 = S(2) = SAx. Thus A and S are weak-compatible but not compatible.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let A and S be self-maps on a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*). Assume that S is continuous. Then (A, S) is semicompatible if and only if (A, S) is compatible.

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\{Ax_n\} \to u$  and  $\{Sx_n\} \to u$ . Since S is continuous, we have  $SAx_n \to Su$ .

Suppose that (A, S) is semi-compatible. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(SAx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$

Now

$$M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) \ge M(ASx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) * M(SAx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1.$$

Hence the pair (A, S) is compatible.

Conversely, suppose that (A, S) be compatible. Then for all t > 0 we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(SAx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1.$$

Now,

$$M(ASx_n, Su, t) \ge M(ASx_n, SAx_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M(SAx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, Su, t) = 1.$$

Hence  $ASx_n \to Su$ , i.e., (A, S) is semi-compatible.  $\Box$ 

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let A and S be continuous self-maps on a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*). If (A, S) is semi-compatible, then (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\{Ax_n\} \to u$ and  $\{Sx_n\} \to u$ . Since A and S are continuous, we have  $A^2x_n \to Au, S^2x_n \to Su, ASx_n \to Au$  and  $SAx_n \to Su$ . Since (A, S) is semicompatible, we have  $ASx_n \to Su$ . Since the limit of the sequence is unique, we have Au = Su. Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(A^2 x_n, Au, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(SAx_n, Au, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$

Now

$$M(A^{2}x_{n}, SAx_{n}, t) \geq M(A^{2}x_{n}, Au, \frac{t}{2}) * M(SAx_{n}, Au, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(A^2 x_n, SAx_n, t) = 1.$$

Again

$$M(S^2x_n, ASx_n, t) \ge M(S^2x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) * M(ASx_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, AS x_n, t) = 1.$$

Thus the pair (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let A and S be self-maps on a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*). If S is continuous and (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ , then (A, S) is semi-compatible.

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\{Ax_n\} \to u$  and  $\{Sx_n\} \to u$ . Since S is continuous, we have  $S^2x_n \to Su$ . Since (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\alpha)$ , we have  $M(S^2x_n, ASx_n, t) \to 1$ . Thus for all t > 0

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, ASx_n, \frac{t}{2}) = 1.$$

Now

$$M(ASx_n, Su, t) \ge M(ASx_n, S^2x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M(S^2x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, Su, t) = 1$$

Thus  $ASx_n \to Su$  and the pair (A, S) is semi-compatible.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let A and S be continuous self-maps on a fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*). Then (A, S) is semi-compatible if and only if (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\{Ax_n\} \to u$  and  $\{Sx_n\} \to u$ . Since A and S are continuous, we have  $A^2x_n \to Au$ ,  $S^2x_n \to Su$  and  $ASx_n \to Au$ . Thus for all t > 0

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, AS x_n, \frac{t}{2}) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(S^2 x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2}) = 1.$$

Suppose (A, S) is semi-compatible. Then  $ASx_n \to Su$ . So Au = Su.

$$M(A^{2}x_{n}, S^{2}x_{n}, t) \geq M(A^{2}x_{n}, Au, \frac{t}{2}) * M(S^{2}x_{n}, Au, \frac{t}{2})$$
$$= M(A^{2}x_{n}, Au, \frac{t}{2}) * M(S^{2}x_{n}, Su, \frac{t}{2}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(A^2 x_n, S^2 x_n, t) = 1.$$

Thus (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\beta)$ .

Conversely, suppose (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\beta)$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(A^2 x_n, S^2 x_n, \frac{t}{4}) = 1.$$

Now

$$M(Au, Su, t) \ge M(Au, A^2x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M(A^2x_n, Su, \frac{t}{2})$$
  
$$\ge M(Au, A^2x_n, \frac{t}{2}) * M(A^2x_n, S^2x_n, \frac{t}{4}) * M(S^2x_n, Su, \frac{t}{4})$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(Au, Su, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0. Thus Au = Su. Now  $ASx_n \to Au$ . So (A, S) is semi-compatible.

The following is an example of a pair (S,T) of self-maps, which is semi-compatible, but not compatible. Further, it is shown that the semi-compatibility of the pair (S,T) need not imply the semicompatibility of (T,S). EXAMPLE 3.2. Let X = [0, 1] and (X, M, t) be the induced fuzzy metric space with  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|}$ . Define a self-map S on X as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } x \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Let *I* be the identity map on *X* and  $x_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $\{Ix_n\} = \{x_n\} \to \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\{Sx_n\} \to \frac{1}{2} \neq S(\frac{1}{2})$ . Thus (I, S) is not semi-compatible though it is compatible. For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in *X* such that  $\{x_n\} \to x$  and  $\{Sx_n\} \to x$ , we have  $\{SIx_n\} = \{Sx_n\} \to x = Ix$ . Thus (S, I) is semi-compatible.

REMARK 3.2. The above example gives an important aspect of semi-compatibility as the pair (I, S) is commuting, weakly commuting, compatible, and weak-compatible, but it is not semi-compatible.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let (X, M, \*) be the fuzzy metric space as defined in Example 3.1. Define self-maps A and S on X as follows:

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1\\ \frac{x}{2} & \text{if } 1 < x \le 2\\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x < 1\\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1\\ \frac{x+3}{5} & \text{if } 1 < x \le 2 \end{cases}$$

and  $x_n = 2 - \frac{1}{2n}$ . Then we have S(1) = A(1) = 2 and S(2) = A(2) = 1. SA(1) = AS(1) = 1 and SA(2) = AS(2) = 2. Hence  $Ax_n \to 1$  and  $Sx_n \to 2$  and  $SAx_n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, Sy, t) = M(2, 2, t) = 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = M(2, 1, t) = \frac{t}{1+t} < 1.$$

Hence (A, S) is semi-compatible but not compatible.

In [10], Vasuki proved the following theorem for R-weakly commuting pair of self-maps.

THEOREM 3.5. ([10]) Let f and g be R-weakly commuting selfmaps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) such that

$$M(fx, fy, t) \ge r(M(gx, gy, t)),$$

where  $r : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. If  $f(X) \subset g(X)$  and either f or g is continuous then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

#### 4. Main results

THEOREM 4.1. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying

- (1)  $A(X) \subset T(X), B(X) \subset S(X),$
- (2) one of A and B is continuous,
- (3) (A, S) is semi-compatible and (B, T) is weak-compatible,
- (4) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

$$M(Ax, By, t) \ge r(M(Sx, Ty, t)),$$

where  $r : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any arbitrary point for which there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $Ax_0 = Tx_1$  and  $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ . Inductively construct sequences  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $y_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Using (4) with  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$ , we get

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) = M(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge r(M(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t))$$
$$= r(M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)) > M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t).$$

B. SINGH AND S. JAIN

Similarly,

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) > M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t).$$

In general,

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) > r(M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t)) > M(y_n, y_{n-1}, t).$$

Thus  $\{M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers in [0, 1], and tends to a limit  $l \leq 1$ . If l < 1, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t) = l > r(l) > l,$$

which is a contradiction. So l = 1.

Now for any positive integer p

$$M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \ge M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}) * M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p}) * \cdots * M(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, \frac{t}{p}).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) \ge 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M(y_n, y_{n+p}, t) = 1.$$

Thus  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. By the completeness of X,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $z \in X$ . Hence

(5)  $Ax_{2n} \to z, \quad Sx_{2n} \to z, \quad Tx_{2n+1} \to z, \quad Bx_{2n+1} \to z.$ 

## Case when A is continuous

Since A is continuous and (A, S) is semi-compatible, we get

$$(6) \qquad \qquad ASx_{2n} \to Az \quad \& \quad ASx_{2n} \to Sz.$$

Since the limit in fuzzy metric space is unique, we get

**Step I.** We prove Az = z. Put x = z,  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (4) and let  $Az \neq z$ . Then

$$M(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, t)) > M(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, t).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (5) and (7), we get

$$M(Az, z, t) \ge r(M(Az, z, t)) > M(Az, z, t),$$

which is a contradiction and hence z = Az = Sz.

**Step II.** Since  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that z = Az = Tu. Put  $x = x_{2n}, y = u$  in (4), we get

$$M(Ax_{2n}, Bu, t) \ge r(M(Sx_{2n}, Tu, t)).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (5), we get

$$M(z, Bu, t) \ge r(M(z, z, t)) = r(1) = 1,$$

which gives z = Bu = Tu and the weak-compatibility of (B, T) gives TBu = BTu, i.e., Tz = Bz.

**Step III.** Putting x = z, y = z in (4) and assuming  $Az \neq Bz$ , we get

$$M(Az, Bz, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tz, t)) = r(M(Az, Bz, t))$$
  
>  $M(Az, Bzt),$ 

which is a contradiction, and we get Az = Bz = z. Combining all the results, we get

$$z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz,$$

i.e., z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

# Case when S is continuous

Since S is continuous and (A, S) is semi-compatible, we get

(8) 
$$SAx_{2n} \to Sz, \quad S^2x_{2n} \to Sz, \quad ASx_{2n} \to Sz.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} SAx_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} ASx_{2n} = Sz.$$

Now we prove Sz = z. Putting  $x = Sx_{2n}$ ,  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (4) and assuming  $Sz \neq z$ , we get

$$M(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge r(M(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t)).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (5) and (8), we get

$$M(Sz, z, t) \ge r(M(Sz, z, t)) > M(Sz, z, t),$$

which is a contradiction and thus Sz = z.

Put  $x = z, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (4). Then we get

$$M(Az, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}, t)).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (5), we get

$$M(Az, z, t) \ge r(M(z, z, t)) = r(1) = 1,$$

which gives z = Az, and hence Sz = z = Az.

Also, it follows from Steps II and III that Bz = Tz = z. Hence we get

$$z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz.$$

So z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Uniqueness

Let  $z_1$  be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then  $z_1 = Az_1 = Bz_1 = Sz_1 = Tz_1$  and z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz. Assuming  $z \neq z_1$  and using (4), we get

$$M(z, z_1, t) = M(Az, Bz_1, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tz_1, t))$$
  
=  $r(M(z, z_1, t)) > M(z, z_1, t),$ 

which is a contradiction. Hence  $z = z_1$  and so z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

COROLLARY 4.2. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying (1), (4) and

(9) (A, S) and (B, T) are semi-compatible,

(10) one of A, B, S and T is continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since (B,T) is semi-compatible, we get (B,T) is weak-compatible, etc. And the result follows from Theorem 4.1.

If we take A = B = f and S = T = g in Theorem 4.1, then we get the following.

THEOREM 4.3. Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space, and let f and g be semi-compatible self-maps on X satisfying the condition:

 $M(fx, fy, t) \ge r(M(gx, gy, t)),$ 

where  $r : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that t(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. If  $f(X) \subset g(X)$  and either f or g is continuous, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

REMARK 4.1. This result proves that the theorem of Vasuki [10] holds well even if the pair (f, g) is semi-compatible.

Take S = I in Theorem 4.1. We have the following result for three self-maps, none of which is continuous and just a pair of them is needed to be weak-compatible only.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let A, B and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying

- (11)  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ ,
- (12) (B,T) is weak-compatible,
- (13) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

 $M(Ax, By, t) \ge r(M(x, Ty, t)),$ 

where  $r: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. Then A, B and T have a unique common fixed point.

Again if we take S = T = I in Theorem 4.1 then the conditions (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied trivially and we get the following important result to be used for a unique common fixed point of a sequence of self-maps.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let A and B be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying

$$M(Ax, By, t) \ge r(M(x, y, t))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $r : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. Then A and B have a unique common fixed point.

In Grebiek [5], the following version of Banach contraction theorem has been established for fuzzy metric space.

THEOREM 4.6. ([5]) Let (X, M, \*) be a complete fuzzy metric space where \* is a continuous t-norm and T a self-map on X such that

$$M(Tx, Ty, t) \ge M(x, y, t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0. Then T has a unique fixed point.

REMARK 4.2. If we take A = B = T in Corollary 4.5, then we have an alternate result of the above result of [5].

THEOREM 4.7. ([9]) Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*), where \* is a continuous t-norm, such that for any two maps  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ , we have

$$M(T_i^m x, T_j^m y, \alpha_{i,j} t) \ge M(x, y, t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and some m and  $0 < \alpha_{i,j} < 1, i, j = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then  $\{T_n\}$  has a unique common fixed point.

The following is an alternate result of it.

THEOREM 4.8. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) such that every pair of consecutive maps satisfies

$$M(A_i^{m_i}x, A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}y, t) \ge r_i(M(x, y, t))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , t > 0 and  $r_i : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  are continuous functions such that  $r_i(t) > t$  for each 0 < t < 1. Then  $\{A_n\}$  has a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.5, the pair  $(A_i^{m_i}, A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}})$  has a unique common fixed point, say, u. Hence  $u = A_i^{m_i} u = A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} u$ . Now  $A_i^{m_i}(A_i u) = A_i(A_i^{m_i}u) = A_i u$ , i.e.,  $A_i u$  is a fixed point of  $A_i^{m_i}$ . Similarly,  $A_{i+1}u$  is a fixed point of  $A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}$ . Putting  $x = A_i u$  and y = u in the above condition, we get

$$M(A_i^{m_i}A_iu, A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}u, r_i(t)) \ge r_i(M(A_iu, u, t))$$

implies

$$M(A_i u, u, t) \ge r_i(M(A_i u, u, t)),$$

which gives  $A_i u = u$ . Similarly, we show that  $A_{i+1}u = u$ . Thus  $A_i u = A_{i+1}u = u$ . Therefore, u is a common fixed point of  $A_i$  and  $A_{i+1}$ . If v is another common fixed point of  $A_i$  and  $A_{i+1}$ , then v is a common fixed point of  $A_i^{m_i}$  and  $A_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}}$ , which is unique. Hence u = v. Thus every pair of two consecutive maps has a unique common fixed point. Let  $u_1$  be the common fixed point of the pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  and  $u_2$  that of the pair  $(A_2, A_3)$ . Putting  $x = u_1, y = u_2$  in the given contraction condition taking i = 1, we get

$$M(u_1, u_2, t) \ge r_1(M(u_1, u_2, t)),$$

which implies  $u_1 = u_2$ . Thus each consecutive pair of  $\{A_n\}$  has the same unique common fixed point, which must be the unique common fixed point of  $\{A_n\}$ .

THEOREM 4.9. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying (1), (2), (4) and

(14) (A, S) is compatible and (B, T) is weak-compatible.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, it suffices to prove the theorem when A is continuous. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  which is a Cauchy sequence in X and hence it converges to some  $z \in X$  and (1) is true. Since A is continuous and (A, S) is compatible, we get

(15) 
$$ASx_{2n} \to Az, \quad A^2x_{2n} \to Sz, \quad SAx_{2n} \to Az.$$

**Step I.** We now prove Az = z. Put  $x = Ax_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (4) and assume that  $Az \neq z$ . Then

$$M(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, t) \ge r(M(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t))$$
  
>  $M(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, t).$ 

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (15) and (5), we get

$$M(Az, z, t) > M(Az, z, t),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence z = Az.

**Step II.** Since  $A(X) \subset T(X)$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that z = Az = Tu. Putting  $x = x_{2n}, y = u$  in (4), we have

$$M(Ax_{2n}, Bu, t) \ge r(M(Sx_{2n}, u, t)).$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  and using (5), we get

$$M(z, Bu, t) \ge r(M(z, z, t)) = r(1) = 1.$$

Thus z = Bu = Tu. Since (B, T) is weak-compatible, we get TBu = BTu, i.e., Tz = Bz.

**Step III.** Since z = Bu and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ , there exists  $v \in X$  such that z = Bu = Sv. Putting x = v, y = u in (4), we get

$$M(Av, Bu, t) \ge r(M(Sv, Tu, t)) = r(M(z, z, t)) = r(1) = 1.$$

Thus Av = Bu and hence z = Sv = Av. Since (A, S) is semicompatible, we get ASv = SAv and Az = Sz = z.

**Step IV.** Putting x = z, y = z in (4) and assuming  $Az \neq Bz$ , we get

$$M(Az, Bz, t) \ge r(M(Sz, Tz, t)) = r(M(Az, Bz, t))$$
  
>  $M(Az, Bz, t),$ 

which is a contradiction. So we get Az = Bz = z.

Combining all the results, we get z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz, i.e., z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T, and the uniqueness follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

COROLLARY 4.10. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying (1), (4) and

(16) (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible,

(17) one of A, B, S and T is continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Since compatibility implies weak-compatibility, the proof follows from Theorem 4.9.  $\Box$ 

If we take A = B = f and S = T = g in Theorem 4.9, we get the following.

THEOREM 4.11. Let f and g be compatible self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying

$$M(fx, fy, t) \ge r(M(gx, gy, t)),$$

where  $r : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1. If  $f(X) \subset g(X)$  and either f or g is continuous, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

REMARK 4.3. Theorem 4.11 generalizes Theorem of Vasuki [10] by assuming only compatibility of the pair (f, g) in place of its being *R*weakly commuting. Thus Theorem 4.9 is a still better generalization of a result of [10] for four self-maps.

COROLLARY 4.12. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying (1), (4) and

(18) (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\alpha)$  and (B, T) is weak-compatible, (19) S is continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.3.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 4.13. Let A, B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying (1), (4) and

(20) (A, S) is compatible of type  $(\beta)$  and (B, T) is weak-compatible,

(21) A and S are continuous.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.4.  $\Box$ 

Taking A = I in Theorem 4.8, we have another result for three self-maps, none of which are continuous and just a pair of them is needed to be weak-compatible only.

COROLLARY 4.14. Let B, S and T be self-maps on a complete fuzzy metric space (X, M, \*) satisfying

- (22)  $B(X) \subset S(X)$  and T is surjective,
- (23) (B,T) is weak-compatible,

(24) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0,

$$M(x, By, t) \ge r(M(Sx, Ty, t)),$$

where  $r : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous function such that r(t) > t for each 0 < t < 1.

Then B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

#### References

- 1. Y.J. Cho, Fixed point in fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math. 5 (1997), 949-962.
- Y.J. Cho, H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang and J.S. Jung, Fuzzy Sets and System 93 (1998), 99–111.
- Y.J. Cho, B.K. Sharma and D.R. Sahu, Semi-compatibility and fixed points, Math Japonica 42 (1995), 91–98.
- A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System 64 (1994), 395–399.
- M. Grebiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System 27 (1988), 385–389.
- I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 326–334.

#### B. SINGH AND S. JAIN

- S.N. Mishra, N. Mishra, S.L. Singh, Common fixed point of maps in fuzzy metric space, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 17 (1994), 253–258.
- B. Singh and M.S. Chauhan, Common fixed point of compatible maps in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and System 115 (2000), 471–475.
- R. Vasuki, Common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and System 97 (1998), 395–397.
- 10. R. Vasuki, Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric space, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1999), 419–423.
- 11. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 89 (1965), 338-353.

\*

School of Studies in Mathematics Vikram University UJJAIN-456010(M. P.), INDIA

\*\*

SHRI VAISHNAV INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE GRAM BAROLI, POST ALWASA P.O. PALIA, INDORE, INDIA *E-mail*: jainshishir11@rediffmail.com