

A NOTE ON QUASI- $*$ -INVERTIBLE AND $*$ -INVERTIBLE IDEALS

HWANKOO KIM* AND DONG YEOL OH**

ABSTRACT. Let $*$ be a star-operation on an integral domain R . We show that if R is a $*_w$ -Noetherian domain, then quasi- $*_w$ -invertible prime $*_w$ -ideals of R are minimal, and prime ideals of R minimal over a $*_w$ -invertible $*_w$ -ideal are minimal.

1. Introduction

The concept of quasi-invertibility of a prime ideal was first introduced by Krull in [8]. A prime ideal P is said to be *quasi-invertible* if $P \subset PP^{-1}$. In [2], Butts showed that if every proper prime ideal of R is quasi-invertible, then R is a Dedekind domain. Also in [9], Perić showed that in a Noetherian domain, quasi-invertible prime ideals are minimal, and prime ideals minimal over an invertible ideal are minimal. Recently in [3], Chang gave a characterization of Krull domains, which is analogous to Butts' result. Finally in [7], Kim and Park generalized the notion of quasi-invertibility for prime ideals to that in the setting equipped with arbitrary star-operations and any (nonzero) ideals. Analogously to Butts' result, they also characterized Krull domains. In this article, we generalize and unify the results due to Perić ([9]).

Let R be an integral domain with quotient field K and $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of R . A mapping $A \mapsto A_*$ of $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ into $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ is called a *star-operation* on R if the following conditions are satisfied for all $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$:

- (i) $(aR)_* = aR, (aA)_* = aA_*$;
- (ii) $A \subseteq A_*,$ if $A \subseteq B$ then $A_* \subseteq B_*$; and

Received July 30, 2013; Accepted September 27, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13A15, 13E05; Secondary 16P50.

Key words and phrases: quasi- $*$ -invertible, $*$ -invertible ideal, $*$ -Noetherian domain.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dong Yeol Oh, dongyeol70@gmail.com.

(iii) $(A_*)_* = A_*$.

Let $*$ be any star-operation on R . It is easy to show that for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$, $(AB)_* = (AB_*)_* = (A_*B_*)_*$. An $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$ is called a $*$ -ideal if $A = A_*$; A is said to be $*$ -invertible if $(AA^{-1})_* = R$, where $A^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xA \subseteq R\}$; and A is said to be of *finite type* if $A_* = B_*$ for some finitely generated ideal B of R .

Given any star-operation $*$ on R , we can construct two new star-operations $*_f$ and $*_w$ induced by $*$. For all $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$, the $*_f$ -operation is defined by $A_{*_f} = \bigcup \{B_* \mid B \in \mathfrak{F}(R), B \text{ is finitely generated, and } B \subseteq A\}$ and the $*_w$ -operation is defined by $A_{*_w} = \{x \in K \mid Bx \subseteq A \text{ for some } B \in GV^*(R)\}$, where $GV^*(R)$ is the set of nonzero finitely generated ideals B of R with $B_* = R$. Clearly, if $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$ is finitely generated, then $A_* = A_{*_f}$. We say that $*$ is of *finite character* if $* = *_f$ and that $*_f$ is the *finite character star-operation induced by $*$* . It is known that the $*_w$ -operation is also a finite character star-operation on R ([1, Theorem 2.7]). It is well known that if $* = *_f$, then each prime ideal minimal over a $*$ -ideal is a prime $*$ -ideal (in particular, each height-one prime ideal is a prime $*$ -ideal).

The most important examples of star-operations are (1) the d -operation defined by $A_d := A$, (2) the v -operation defined by $A_v := (A^{-1})^{-1}$, (3) the t -operation defined by $A_t := A_{*_f}$, and (4) the w -operation defined by $A_w := A_{*_w}$ ($= \{x \in K \mid Bx \subseteq A \text{ for some finitely generated ideal } B \text{ of } R \text{ with } B^{-1} = R\}$) for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$. Note that all star-operations above except for v are of finite character. For any star-operation $*$ on R and for any $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$, we have that $A \subseteq A_* \subseteq A_v$, $A \subseteq A_{*_w} \subseteq A_{*_f} \subseteq A_t$, and $A_{*_w} \subseteq A_w$; so $(A_*)_v = A_v = (A_v)_*$ and $(A_{*_f})_t = A_t = (A_t)_{*_f}$. In particular, a v -ideal (resp., t -ideal) is a $*$ -ideal (resp., $*_f$ -ideal).

Let $*$ be a star-operation on R . Then R is called a $*$ -Noetherian domain if R has the ascending chain condition on integral $*$ -ideals of R . It is well known that R is a $*$ -Noetherian domain if and only if every integral $*$ -ideal of R is of finite type and that if R is a $*$ -Noetherian domain, then $* = *_f$ ([11, Theorem 1.1]). Recall that a $*$ -Noetherian domain R is a Mori domain when $* = v$ (or $* = t$); R is a strong Mori domain (SM domain) when $* = w$; and R is just the usual Noetherian domain when $* = d$. For any two star-operations $*_1$ and $*_2$ on R , $*_1 \leq *_2$ means that $A_{*_1} \subseteq A_{*_2}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$. Note that $d \leq w \leq t \leq v$. It is clear that if R is a $*_1$ -Noetherian domain, then R is also a $*_2$ -Noetherian domain for any star-operations $*_1 \leq *_2$ on R . Thus we have the following implications: Noetherian domain \Rightarrow SM domain \Rightarrow Mori domain.

In this article, we show that for a star-operation $*$ on an integral domain R , if R is a $*_w$ -Noetherian domain, then quasi- $*_w$ -invertible prime $*_w$ -ideals of R are minimal, and prime ideals of R minimal over a $*_w$ -invertible $*_w$ -ideal are minimal (quasi- $*$ -invertibility will be defined in Section 2). As corollaries, we obtain the results [9, Satz 1 and 2] done by Perić and the similar results on an SM domain.

General references for any undefined terminology or notation are [4, 6].

2. Main results

Let $*$ be a star-operation. Recall from [7] that a nonzero proper ideal I is said to be *quasi- $*$ -invertible* if $I_* \subset (II^{-1})_*$. Clearly any $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal is quasi- $*$ -invertible.

Hereafter we let $*$ be a star-operation on an integral domain such that $*$ = $*_w$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R be a $*$ -Noetherian domain and let P be a quasi- $*$ -invertible prime $*$ -ideal of R . Then P is minimal.*

Proof. Since R is a $*$ -Noetherian domain, by [5, Corollary 2.13] R_P is a Noetherian domain. Since P is quasi- $*$ -invertible and R_P is a local ring with the maximal ideal PR_P , we have

$$R_P = (PP^{-1})R_P = (PR_P)(P^{-1}R_P) \subseteq (PR_P)(PR_P)^{-1} \subseteq R_P.$$

Thus PR_P is invertible, and so principal. Thus by Krull's principal ideal theorem ([6, Theorem 142]), PR_P is height-one. Since $\text{ht}(P) = \text{ht}(PR_P)$, P is minimal. □

Without using localization technique, Theorem 2.1 can be seen as follows:

Put $C := (PP^{-1})_*$. For any $0 \neq p \in P$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad pC = p(PP^{-1})_* = (BP)_*, \text{ where } B := P^{-1}p \subseteq R.$$

Since P is quasi- $*$ -invertible, one can choose an element $p \in P$ such that $B \not\subseteq P$. Since $p \in P$, P contains a minimal prime $*$ -ideal P' over Rp , i.e., $P' \subseteq P$. If $P' \neq P$, then by (2.1) we have $B \subseteq P' \subseteq P$, because $BP \subseteq Rp \subseteq P'$ and P' is a prime ideal of R . This is a contradiction to the condition that $B \not\subseteq P$. Thus we have $P' = P$, i.e., P is a minimal prime ideal over Rp . Therefore by [1, Corollary 3.7], P is minimal.

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the cases when $*$ = d and $*$ = w , we can get the following:

- COROLLARY 2.2. 1. ([9, Satz 1]) *Let P be a quasi-invertible prime ideal of a Noetherian domain R . Then P is minimal.*
2. *Let P be a quasi- w -invertible prime w -ideal of an SM domain R . Then P is minimal.*

The generalized principal ideal theorem (GPIT) states that in a Noetherian domain R , if P is a prime ideal of R minimal over an ideal generated by n elements, then $\text{ht}(P) \leq n$ ([6, Theorem 152]). When $n = 1$, this theorem is well known as Krull's principal ideal theorem (PIT). This was generalized to SM domains by Wang and McCasland in [10, Corollary 1.12]. They proved that in an SM domain R , a prime ideal of R minimal over a w -ideal $(a_1, \dots, a_n)_w$ has height at most n . By Anderson and Cook, it was shown that $*_w$ -Noetherian domains also satisfy the GPIT ([1, Corollary 3.7]).

Now we give a variant of the GPIT for $*_w$ -Noetherian domains. We first introduce the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A be a $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal in a $*$ -Noetherian domain R and let P be a prime $*$ -ideal of R . Then there is an element $a \in A$ such that $aA^{-1} \not\subseteq P$.*

Proof. Suppose that P is a prime $*$ -ideal of R and A is a $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal of R . If $AA^{-1} \subseteq P$, then $R = (AA^{-1})_* = P_* = P$, a contradiction. So $AA^{-1} \not\subseteq P$, which implies that there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $aA^{-1} \not\subseteq P$. \square

Note that Lemma 2.3 holds without the assumption that R is a $*$ -Noetherian domain.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let R be a $*$ -Noetherian domain and A be a $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal of R . Then every prime ideal of R minimal over A is minimal.*

Proof. Let R be a $*$ -Noetherian domain and A be a $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal of R . Since $* = *_w$ is of finite character, we note that a prime ideal of R minimal over a $*$ -ideal of R is also a $*$ -ideal. Suppose that P is a prime ideal of R which is minimal over A . Then P is also a $*$ -ideal. By Lemma 2.3, we can choose an element $a \in A$ such that $aA^{-1} \not\subseteq P$. Put $B := aA^{-1}$. Then $(BA)_* = a(AA^{-1})_* = aR \subseteq A \subseteq P$; so P contains a prime ideal Q of R which is minimal over aR . Note that since R is a $*$ -Noetherian domain, every prime ideal of R which is minimal over aR is minimal by [1, Corollary 3.7]. Hence Q is minimal. Since $BA \subseteq (BA)_* \subseteq Q \subseteq P$ and $B \not\subseteq P$, we have $B \not\subseteq Q$. Thus $A \subseteq Q$.

Obviously, Q is a minimal prime ideal over A , and so $Q = P$. Thus P is minimal. \square

Note that Theorem 2.4 can be proved by localization technique as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Applying Theorem 2.4 to the case when $*$ = d or $*$ = w , we can get the following:

- COROLLARY 2.5. 1. ([9, Satz 2]) *Every prime ideal of a Noetherian domain which is minimal over an invertible ideal is minimal.*
 2. *Every prime ideal of an SM domain which is minimal over a w -invertible w -ideal is minimal.*

Lemma 2.3 plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.4. In fact, we can get a stronger result than Lemma 2.3 as follows:

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let A be a $*$ -invertible $*$ -ideal in a $*$ -Noetherian domain R and let P_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be prime $*$ -ideals of R . Then there is a $*$ -ideal B which is not contained in any P_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), so that*

$$(2.2) \quad (BA)_* = Ra$$

with a suitable $a \in A$.

Proof. Note that for each $a \in A$, Equation (2.2) follows from $(AA^{-1})_* = R$ by multiplying with a . Now we show that there is an element $a \in A$ such that $A^{-1}a \not\subseteq P_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ by using the induction on n . First, assume that $n = 1$. By Lemma 2.3, it is true. Suppose that the assertion is true for $n - 1$ ($n > 1$), i.e., there is an $a' \in A$ such that $A^{-1}a' \not\subseteq P_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$). Let $\{P_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a set of prime $*$ -ideals of R . Obviously, we can assume that $P_i \not\subseteq P_j$ for $i \neq j$. Under this assumption, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is a $p_i \in P_i$ with the property that $p_i \notin P_j$ for all $j \neq i$. Now we take $a = p_n a' + p a'$, where $p = p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$. It is easy to see that for this a , the condition $A^{-1}a \not\subseteq P_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) has been assured. \square

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referees for several helpful comments.

References

[1] D. D. Anderson and S. J. Cook, *Two star-operations and their induced lattices*, Comm. Algebra **28** (2000), 2461-2475.

- [2] H. S. Butts, *Quasi-invertible prime ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 291-292.
- [3] G. W. Chang, *Quasi-invertible prime t -ideals*, Houston J. Math. **33** (2007), 385-389.
- [4] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure Appl. Math. vol. **90**, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, 1992.
- [5] C. J. Hwang and J. W. Lim, *A note on $*_w$ -Noetherian domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1199-1209.
- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.
- [7] H. Kim and Y. S. Park, *Some characterizations of Krull domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), 339-344.
- [8] W. Krull, *Über den Aufbau des Nullideals in ganz abgeschlossenen Ringen mit Teilerkettensatz*, Math. Ann. **102** (1929), 363-369.
- [9] V. Perić, *Eine Bemerkung zu den invertierbaren und fast invertierbaren Idealen*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **15** (1964), 415-417.
- [10] F. Wang and R. L. McCasland, *On w -modules over strong Mori domains*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 1285-1306.
- [11] M. Zafrullah, *Ascending chain conditions and star operations*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), 1523-1533.

*

Department of Information Security
Hoseo University
Asan 336-795, Republic of Korea
E-mail: hkkim@hoseo.edu

**

Division of Liberal Arts
Hanbat National University
Daejeon 305-719, Republic of Korea
E-mail: dongyeol170@gmail.com